



Ar-Rayah **Newspaper**

Issue 583 **(21 January 2026)**

(Translated from Arabic)

[Editorial: Answer To Question: The US National Security Strategy Document **Page 2**](#)

[Feature Article: Answer To Question: What Lies Behind the Intense Escalation of the Yemeni Crisis? **Page 13**](#)

[To the Muslims Generally and Their People of Military Power and Protection **Page 23**](#)

[Climb Aboard the Ship of Liberation \(Tahrir\), O People of Yemen! **Page 25**](#)

[Sudanese Regime Security Forces Arrest Nine Members of Hizb ut Tahrir **Page 27**](#)

[Selective Justice Reproduces Injustice! **Page 29**](#)

[Defense Cooperation between Jordan and Pakistan: Dimensions and Questions **Page 34**](#)

[Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir / Wilayah Jordan: Seminar: “The Radical Solution and the Ummah’s Vital Issue” **Page 37**](#)

[The Khilafah is Capable of Keeping Pace with the World’s Technological Advancements and Strategic Capabilities **Page 39**](#)

[The Tragedy of the Gaza Strip: The Struggle for the Awareness of the New Generation and the Media Landscape **Page 41**](#)

[The Anniversary of the Demolition of the Khilafah \(Caliphate\): A Lesson in Awareness and the Sunnah of Revival **Page 45**](#)

It It might be asked, “Does the Khilafah really do all this? Does it bring victory and repel defeat? Does it liberate Muslim lands from the Kuffar colonialists, and even pursue them to their own homes?” We say yes, for Allah ﷺ says: ﴿إِنَّمَّا يُنَصِّرُ مَنْ يَأْتِيَ اللَّهَ بِإِيمَانٍ فَإِنَّمَّا يُنَصِّرُ مَنْ يَأْتِيَ اللَّهَ بِإِيمَانٍ﴾ [TMQ Surah Muhammad, 47:7]. And supporting Allah’s truth can only be achieved by establishing the Islamic state that implements His Shariah Laws. When it is established, Allah ﷺ will support it, strengthen it, and grant it power, so that its friends will respect it, and its enemies will fear it. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ also said, “The Imam is a shield; one fights behind him and is protected by him.” The Khaleefah and the Khilafah are a shield, a protection. And whoever has this protection will, by Allah’s will, ultimately be victorious; his lands will not be lost, and his enemies will not be able to approach him.

Editorial

Answer To Question: The US National Security Strategy Document



Question:

On 5/12/2025, Trump announced to the public the new 33-page US National Security Strategy document. What is the difference between this document and the previous ones, such as Biden's strategy?

Answer:

A careful examination of these documents reveals no fundamental difference between the National Security Strategy documents published by the Republican Trump in 2017 and 2025, or those published by Reagan in 1988, Bush Sr. in 1990, and Bush Jr. in 2002, and those announced by Democratic presidents: Clinton in 1994 and 1998, Obama in 2010 and 2015, and Biden in

2022. The only difference lies in the style and language used; all of them aim to maintain and reinforce American global hegemony. While Republicans express American global leadership bluntly and without ambiguity, Democrats resort to flowery and deceptive language, sometimes through misleading and other times through convoluted and ambiguous statements. In my answer, as the question suggests, I will focus on the differences between these strategies rather than delving into their details, except to the extent necessary to clarify the difference between Biden's strategy and Trump's strategy. To illustrate this, and with Allah's guidance, I say:

1- The Answer to a Question we issued on 18/11/2016, stated the following:

(...The broad outlines of the US politics do not differ between the Republican Party and the Democratic Party, but the styles vary. The reason for this is attributed to the background of the emergence of the two parties. The Republican Party does not care much to appear in the democratic impressions that they loud-mouth, but is predominated by a cowboy attitude saturated with arrogance and it has emerged from this milieu and still it prevails it... And the cowboy culture tends to the person who shows strength, beats and kills the other, blows up here and there... Nor do they care about the crimes of killing innocent people, as they are prevalent in their country, and they love to bear arms and use them as fancies. The US Senate rejected on Monday a proposal of the Democratic Party that allows expanding investigations of the criminal and psychological history for those interested in purchasing individual weapons... Thus, the Republicans do not care about the regulation of gun possession because of the control of producers and arms dealers lobby on

them...Whereas, the Democratic Party is predominated by deception, appearing in the false democratic impressions and imitating the English style. It provides poison enveloped with fake fat, and kills you with a smile, while the Republican party offers the pure poison, so it kills you while biting on its teeth... Democratic presidents therefore are better able to deceit and win the trust of dupes, whereas Republican presidents do not deceive anyone because their enmity is blatantly declared. This can be seen when reviewing people's vision of examples of not-so-distant history of Presidents of both parties... Bush utters by the crusade war whereas Obama cites a Quranic verse in Cairo... and both are plotting a scheme against Islam...! That is just as we said earlier: "Democratic presidents therefore better able to deceive and win the trust of dupes, whereas Republican presidents do not deceive anyone because their enmity is blatantly declared."

Even in the logo of the two parties, there is a difference in connotation, fits what we have said. Since the American cartoonist - German origin - Thomas Nast in (1870 and 1874), published a drawing in Harper's Magazine showing a picture of a donkey wearing a lion's body to scare a group of animals, among them a giant wild elephant that smashes his surroundings... Since then, the donkey has become the Democratic party logo, and the elephant became the logo of the Republican party, and the two logos reflect the image of the two parties... Thus, the actions of Trump are not a new innovation of the actions of the Republican candidates, except to the extent of personal characteristics that distinguish a person from another, but the general characteristics of the Republican Party remains almost applicable to all the party's candidates with the exception of personal characteristics as mentioned above.) End of Quote.

2- Therefore, the inherent arrogance of the Republicans and the deceptive tactics of the Democrats are clearly evident in the strategic documents announced by presidents from both parties.

* Biden's strategy, for example, seeks to perpetuate American leadership and entrench global hegemony and order through misleading terms such as cooperation, democracy, human rights, and diplomacy.

* As for Trump, whose personality is characterized by excessive arrogance, a lust for power, a love of theatrics, a lack of wisdom, a tendency towards internal conflicts and the elimination of opponents, and a state of euphoria, he aims to maintain American global leadership through blatant and undisguised slogans such as "America First" and "Peace Through Strength," even going so far as to insult his allies without any pretense. This is what Trump explicitly stated in his strategic document: ("The goal of this strategy is to tie together all of these world-leading assets, and others, to strengthen American power and preeminence and make our country even greater than it ever has been" (National Security Strategy 2025, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/2025-National-Security-Strategy.pdf>)).

Furthermore, in almost all the subheadings under the heading "Priorities," the protection, enhancement, and sustainability of American dominance are emphasized and reinforced. These include: Realignment through peace, economic security, balanced trade, securing access to critical supply chains and materials, reviving our defense industrial base, energy dominance, and preserving and growing America's financial sector dominance.

3- The national strategy documents announced by Democratic presidents such as Biden, Obama, and Clinton were based on

maintaining American hegemony through so-called soft power and international institutions like the United Nations and NATO, using deceptive terms like democracy and human rights. According to the Democratic national strategy document, America is the world's policeman, and while this role comes with costs and burdens, they see these as a necessary price to pay to ensure the continuation of the American world order and the expansion of its imperial influence.

However, in Republican strategies, as seen in the documents of Nixon and Trump, the logic differs. They demand that allies pay for America's protection and the security umbrella it provides. This was clearly evident in Trump's 2025 document under the subheading "Burden-Sharing and Burden-Shifting," which obligated NATO countries to "spend 5 percent of GDP on defense" (US National Security Strategy 2025, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/2025-National-Security-Strategy.pdf>; mc-doualiya.com).

As is apparent, despite the varying methods employed, the different means used, and the fluctuating priorities according to circumstances and shifts, the fundamental objective of national security strategy documents—whether issued by Trump, Biden, Obama, Bush, Clinton, or other leaders of the colonialist state—remains a single, constant goal: to maintain America's global leadership, consolidate its hegemony, and prevent the emergence of any power that rivals the United States!

4- Therefore, the strategy document announced by Trump does not represent a fundamental change in objectives, but rather a change in the methods used to achieve those objectives. As also stated in the Question and Answer dated 18/11/ 2016: (As for the

change of US policy on key issues traded in the era of the former president, the broad outline is not expected to change, but the styles may change. The American system is controlled by different institutions, each with its own powers that increase or decrease... and this affects maintaining the outline of US policy almost invariable with differences in styles.) End of Quote.

5- This can be confirmed by examining the emergence of American political parties after the formation of the United States. They all share a common origin, maintaining American hegemony and tyranny. These parties differ only in their methods and personal tyranny.

a. After European (settlers and tourists) managed to seize America, especially North America, and enslave its indigenous inhabitants, the Native Americans, they began working to form a state. According to Wikipedia, [The Thirteen Colonies were the British colonies on the Atlantic coast of North America which broke away from the British Crown in the American Revolutionary War (1775–1783), and joined to form the United States of America]. The Philadelphia Convention adopted the current American Constitution on 17/9/1787, and it was ratified the following year, 1788, making these states part of a single republic with a central government. Later, the United States acquired territories from France, Spain, Mexico, and Russia, and annexed the Republic of Texas and Hawaii. The republic was then officially established the following year, on 1789, the United States of America. George Washington was the first President of the United States (1789-1797).

b. The Democratic-Republican Party originated from a faction in Congress comprised of opponents of the centralist policies of

Alexander Hamilton, who served as Secretary of the Treasury under President George Washington

c. The Democratic-Republican Party persisted until 1828, when the current Democratic Party was formed by supporters of Andrew Jackson. The current Republican Party was then formed in 1854. Abraham Lincoln became the first Republican president of the United States in 1865...

6- Therefore, these parties share a common origin: to impose American hegemony. They differ only in their methods, their degree of cunning, and the extent of their personal tyranny. The differences don't extend beyond these three points.

For example, the new strategic document announced by Trump is the most arrogant example of cowboy behavior. As we mentioned earlier, while the Democrats, like foxes, offer poison in a veneer of false sweetness (under the guise of democracy, human rights, and diplomatic niceties), the Republicans impose the poison as it is, gritting their teeth and brandishing brute force. Trump's slogan, "America First," is in reality nothing more than a policy of colonial extortion, even with allies, by imposing tributes: "Pay money for protection."

7- Thus, upon closer examination of Trump and Biden's strategies, it becomes clear that the only difference lies in style, cunning, and the extent of personal tyranny. Although what we mentioned above indicates this, both strategies addressed a number of international issues, many of which are almost identical in their views, such as Europe and China. Some issues, such as the Western Hemisphere, show a difference in style, cunning, and personal tyranny, while others, such as the Middle East, are characterized by a shared, albeit hateful, scheming approach. We will briefly mention what was stated in Biden's and then Trump's

strategies regarding the Western Hemisphere and the Middle East:

A. The Western Hemisphere: Because the Monroe Doctrine pertains to this region, we will mention something about Monroe and his doctrine:

(Monroe was the fifth President of the United States, serving from 1817 to 1825. He received the state of Florida to administer in 1819. In 1823, he announced the Monroe Doctrine, in which he expressed the United States' opposition to any European intervention in the affairs of the Americas. This was stated in a declaration issued by President James Monroe in a letter he delivered to the US Congress on December 2nd, 1823. The Monroe Doctrine called for guaranteeing the independence of all nations in the Western Hemisphere against European intervention aimed at oppressing them or interfering in their self-determination. (Wikipedia, slightly adapted))

Subsequent American presidents followed suit in implementing it, albeit with varying methods and degrees of malice and tyranny. We will briefly mention what was issued by Biden and Trump in their respective strategies to illustrate the difference between them.

* Biden's strategy indicates that this region (is the most influential on the United States, with annual trade reaching \$1.9 trillion, in addition to shared values, democratic traditions, and family ties. This strategy deems it essential for the United States to revitalize its businesses in the Americas. Biden's strategy also indicates that the United States will continue to modernize its border infrastructure and build a fair, orderly, and humane immigration system with the countries of the region. It will also continue its mission of expanding legal pathways for immigration and

combating smuggling). This strategy does not allow any other major power to have effective influence that rivals or surpasses American influence, but rather employs deception and malicious tactics using democracy and human rights... and resorts to military action only as a last resort, not as an initial step

* As for Trump's strategy, it begins with threats of military action, even if those threats are never carried out! Trump's strategy is rife with arrogance, threats, and intimidation. His strategy (paraphrased) includes the following: [...(Applying the Monroe Doctrine to protect America's security and restore its control over the Western Hemisphere—America itself, Canada, and South America—and preventing foreign powers from deploying forces there)... and considers it "a region exclusively for the United States of America"]... Therefore, Trump asked Canada to join, making it the 51st state. He threatened Panama to cancel its agreements with China, and Panama complied. He also launched an attack on 3/1/2026 on Venezuela, bombing its capital Caracas, and its president, Maduro, and his wife were arrested in an act of arrogance reeking of abhorrent, traditional colonialism! This treatment of the Western Hemisphere was dubbed the Trump Doctrine, a complement to the Monroe Doctrine. Trump even extended his threats to Greenland, a territory belonging to Denmark, a NATO member! Trump's tyranny is blatant!!

B- The Middle East issue, as we mentioned earlier (including the Middle East, where they conspired against it and its people), the two strategies were not content with what they stipulated regarding supporting the Jewish entity and expanding normalization between it and the rulers, nor to plundering the Ummah's wealth, especially the Gulf's oil and other resources, nor to dominating navigation through the Middle East's

waterways, including the Strait of Hormuz and Bab el-Mandeb. They did not stop there, but also stipulated fighting terrorism, which, in their malicious understanding, is Islam and Islamic rule. Trump states in his Middle East strategy: "...that the region not be an incubator or exporter of terror against American interests or the American homeland, and that Israel remain secure." Biden states in his strategy: "countering terrorist threats..." The intention behind all of this is to threaten Islam, the fundamental ideology of the region's people, since its inhabitants are Muslims striving to establish their state based on their Islamic ideology, liberate their lands from American and Western hegemony, overthrow their subservient regimes, and eliminate the Zionist entity. It is not merely about burying the normalization agreements.

8- In conclusion, the backbone and essence of the "National Security Strategy" documents announced by American presidents since World War II has remained constant and unchanging. What changes are the methods of implementation, the malice, and the personal tyranny in imposing, protecting, and perpetuating American hegemony, fighting Islam and its people, and doing their utmost to prevent the establishment of the Islamic state, the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the method of Prophethood. But how evil is their judgment! The Khilafah Rashidah disturbs their sleep, even just by mentioning its name. As the Director of National Intelligence, Tulsi Gabbard, said a few days ago on 21/12/2025, "this Islamist ideology is a direct threat to our freedom because, at its core, it is a political ideology that seeks to create a global caliphate." And we recite, ﴿مُوْنُوا بِعَيْظِكُم﴾ "May you die in your rage!" [Aal-i-Imran: 119].

For the Muslim Ummah will rise and establish its state, the Khilafah Rashidah, on the Method of Prophethood, by Allah's ﷺ permission, after this oppressive rule under which we live, **تَكُونُ مُلْكًا جَبْرِيَّةً فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعُهَا، ثُمَّ «ثُمَّ تَكُونُ حَلَافَةً عَلَى مِنْهَاجِ النُّبُوَّةِ. ثُمَّ سَكَتَ»** “Then it will be an oppressive rule, and it will last as long as Allah wills it to last. Then He will remove it when He wills to remove it. Then it will be a Khilafah (Caliphate) on the method of Prophethood.” Then he remained silent” (Narrated by Ahmad).

And at that time, the fate of the tyrant Trump and his cronies will be no different from the fate of Chosroes and Caesar after the establishment of the Khilafah. Allah ﷺ said, **بَلَاغٌ فَهَلْ يُهْلِكُ إِلَّا الْقَوْمُ الْفَاسِقُونَ** “This is a ‘sufficient’ warning! Then, will anyone be destroyed except the rebellious people?” [Al-Ahqaf: 35].

25 Rajab 1447 AH

14/1/2026 CE

Answer To Question: What Lies Behind the Intense Escalation of the Yemeni Crisis?

Question:

After the Southern Transitional Council (STC) forces in Yemen, led by Aidarus al-Zubaidi, a member of the Presidential Council, deployed their forces in Hadramawt and al-Mahrah, the situation became very complicated. STC President Rashad al-Alimi terminated the joint defense agreement with the UAE and demanded that it withdraw its forces from Yemen within 24 hours. Saudi Arabia immediately supported him and bombed Emirati weapons in the Port of Mukalla. Saudi Arabia then demanded that the UAE comply with Rashad al-Alimi's demand and withdraw its forces from Yemen. The UAE subsequently withdrew, and finally, al-Zubaidi fled to the UAE. So, what lies behind this intense escalation of the Yemeni crisis? Is Britain losing its allies in Yemen? And does this conflict have international dimensions?

Answer:

To clarify matters, we will explain how this crisis was formed, and then what the results and situations of these events will lead to:

First: The local dimension of the crisis's formation:

1- On the surface, the crisis began to take shape when the Yemeni Transitional Council, the most steadfast supporter of the project to restore the state of South Yemen, deployed its forces to seize control of Hadramawt and Al-Mahrah and expel the forces of the

Tribal Alliance led by Amr bin Habrish from the oil facilities. "Yemeni forces loyal to the Southern Transitional Council announced their control, at dawn on Thursday, of the sites belonging to oil companies in the Al-Masila area of Hadramawt Governorate, following a military deployment that included the oil fields, the surrounding facilities, and supply routes. This came after the withdrawal of forces belonging to the Hadramawt Tribal Alliance from their positions in the area, following limited clashes at some points..." (BBC, 4/12/2025).

2- Al Jazeera reported on 3/12/2025, that a Saudi delegation headed by Mohammed al-Qahtani arrived in Mukalla, the capital of Hadramawt province, and brought together the parties there. An agreement was reached to end the escalation, and a memorandum of understanding to this effect was signed. "The Hadramawt Governorate Media Office stated in a statement that the agreement was signed by the Governor of Hadramawt, Salem Ahmed al-Khanbashi, and Sheikh Amr bin Ali bin Habrish, the First Deputy Governor and Head of the Hadramawt Tribes Alliance." (Sky News, 4/12/2025). It was agreed that the Saudi delegation would remain in Hadramawt to guarantee the implementation of the agreement.

3- (Sheikh Amr bin Habrish, head of the Hadhramaut Tribal Alliance, which is demanding autonomous-rule for the oil-rich eastern Yemeni province, said that Hadhramaut is facing an armed foreign invasion targeting sites on the coast and plateau and threatening its oil facilities. In a televised address, bin Habrish accused the Southern Transitional Council forces of "launching a treacherous attack on positions belonging to the Hadhramaut Tribal Alliance, using drones in a clear violation of the agreement concluded between the alliance and the local

authority in Hadhramaut province, which resulted in deaths and injuries." (Al-Araby Al-Jadeed, 9/12/2025)).

Saudi Arabia rejected these developments. [Major General Mohammed Al-Qahtani (head of the special committee concerned with Yemen), and head of the Saudi delegation currently visiting Hadramawt Governorate, stressed his country's position supporting the stability of the governorate, and rejected "any attempts to impose a fait accompli by force"].

4- Meanwhile, the head of the Yemeni Presidential Leadership Council, Rashad al-Alimi, adopted a position aligned with Saudi Arabia. "Al-Alimi affirmed his categorical rejection of any unilateral actions that disrupt security and stability and undermine the authority of the legitimate government, stressing the need for full adherence to the ceasefire agreement reached in Hadramawt Governorate. Al-Alimi's statements came before his departure from the interim capital, Aden, to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia." (Al-Quds Newspaper, 5/12/2025).

5- When Saudi Arabia's efforts to restore the situation to what it was before the UAE deployed the Southern Transitional Council forces to Hadramawt and Al-Mahrah failed, reaching a dead end, the crisis intensified and took on regional dimensions. "On Tuesday, Rashad Al-Alimi, head of the Presidential Leadership Council in Yemen, issued a decision to cancel the joint defense agreement with the United Arab Emirates and gave Emirati forces 24 hours to leave Yemen." (RT, 30/12/2025). He also ordered the Homeland Shield Forces (affiliated with the Ministry of Defense) to move and take over all camps in the two governorates.

6- Saudi Arabia immediately supported this move, and the crisis escalated. Saudi forces bombed weapons and ammunition sent by the UAE to the Port of Mukalla to support the Southern

Transitional Council. "The Saudi-led coalition in Yemen announced on Tuesday that it had carried out a limited military operation targeting weapons and combat vehicles that had arrived from the UAE at the Port of Mukalla in Hadramawt Governorate." (Sada News, 30/12/2025). Thus, a severe crisis formed in Yemen, which diplomatic efforts failed to resolve, and it worsened regionally. Saudi Arabia demanded that the Presidential Council expel the UAE from the Yemeni arena, and then bombed the weapons that the UAE had sent to the Southern Transitional Council in Hadramawt, threatening a severe crisis between Saudi Arabia and the UAE similar to what happened between Saudi Arabia and Qatar in 2017.

7- The threats continued until the UAE "submitted" and announced the withdrawal of its forces from Yemen. "The UAE Ministry of Defense announced on Tuesday the termination of the remaining counter-terrorism teams in Yemen of its own volition, ensuring the safety of its personnel, and in coordination with relevant partners..." (RT, 30/12/2025). Saudi Arabia continued to issue warnings to the UAE's allies in Yemen (the Southern Transitional Council led by Aidarus al-Zubaidi) to withdraw from Hadramawt and al-Mahrah. The Council initially refused to comply, but then began to show some compliance under Saudi pressure, offering a joint presence or a partial withdrawal. "The Southern Transitional Council forces in Yemen began withdrawing from several areas in the Hadramawt coast and valley..." (Al-Modon, 31/12/2025). This withdrawal was not a final solution to the crisis, but rather a deception!

8- Then, Al-Zubaidi fled from Aden to Abu Dhabi via Somaliland on 8 January 2026, according to the coalition's media announcement. The Saudi Defense Minister then stated that "the

Kingdom, in consultation with southern figures, would form a preparatory committee to organize the Riyadh conference.” On Friday morning, Abdul Rahman Al-Subaihi, Secretary-General of the Southern Transitional Council in Yemen, announced the dissolution of the council and all its bodies, declaring “that they would work to achieve the just southern goal through a comprehensive southern conference under the sponsorship of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.” (Al Jazeera, 9/1/2026).

Second: The international dimension

1- This dimension is clear and unambiguous. The rulers of Saudi Arabia are agents of America, implementing its policies, and the rulers of the United Arab Emirates (UAE) are agents of the British, implementing Britain's policies. They are on opposite sides, so their interests clash in Yemen, and they stand on the brink of conflict or enter one of its doors. However, the Yemeni parties involved in this conflict, until recently, were both agents of the British. Aidarus al-Zubaidi, who leads the Transitional Council in southern Yemen and is one of eight members of the Presidential Council, is an agent of Britain and coordinates all his actions with the UAE.

2- As for Rashad al-Alimi, the head of the Southern Transitional Council, he too was once aligned with the British, but he strongly supported Saudi Arabia and demanded the UAE's withdrawal from Yemen. The UAE is Britain's powerful tool for maintaining its influence in Yemen.

To clarify:

a- In 2022, a Presidential Leadership Council was established, with Rashad al-Alimi holding presidential powers, while the other seven members held the powers of the vice president. Saudi

Arabia and the US representative agreed to the formation of this presidential council, despite most of its members being from the Yemeni political establishment aligned with the British. However, they were unconcerned because Saudi Arabia controlled the council through financial and security support, especially since it included four members from the Southern Transitional Council to appease it. Furthermore, al-Alimi, who had been a British ally and held high-ranking political positions since the era of former Yemeni President Ali Abdullah Saleh, resided in Saudi Arabia and relied heavily on Saudi financial and security aid. All of this gave Saudi Arabia significant leverage over him, which has grown considerably in recent times.

b- Therefore, his stance was sharp in opposing the attack launched by the Southern Transitional Council on the governorates of Hadramawt and Al-Mahrah at the beginning of December. He didn't stop there, but also took a firm position demanding the UAE's withdrawal from the Yemeni arena. This causes significant damage to Britain's remaining influence in Yemen. This indicates a shift in allegiance, and his recent statement further suggests, if not confirms, this: "The head of the Presidential Leadership Council in Yemen, Rashad al-Alimi, stated today that protecting the strategic partnership with Saudi Arabia is a national responsibility. The Yemeni leadership understands the gains it achieves and is also aware of the risks of jeopardizing it, emphasizing that this partnership constitutes a fundamental pillar in supporting efforts to restore the Yemeni state." (Al-Arabiya, 1/1/2026). Consequently, senior British agents within the Presidential Council attacked him, claiming he was acting beyond his authority. They issued a joint statement saying that they ("followed with grave concern the unilateral actions and decisions taken by the head of the Presidential Leadership Council, Rashad

al-Alimi, including the declaration of a state of emergency and the issuance of political and security pronouncements. "Dangerous, even going so far as to claim that the United Arab Emirates should be expelled from the Arab coalition and from Yemeni territory." (Independent Arabia, 30/12/2025)). However, Al-Alimi's change of allegiance from the British to Saudi Arabia does not mean the end of British influence in South Yemen, but it has weakened it, especially after Abdul Rahman Al-Subaihi announced the dissolution of the Southern Transitional Council.

Third: Upon examining this intense conflict, we find that it revolves around Hadramawt and, subsequently, Al-Mahrah Governorate:

1- Hadramawt, which comprises approximately one-third of Yemen, remained on the margins of the conflict throughout the years of the Yemeni war. It was implicitly considered to be within the areas controlled by the Southern Transitional Council, which seeks to separate southern Yemen from the north. Saudi interventions there were limited. In 2024, Saudi Arabia supported the entry of Yemeni government forces (Rashad al-Alimi) into Hadramawt, while the UAE-backed Southern Transitional Council opposed this. (Balqees website, 3/6/2024). Saudi interventions in Hadramawt remained limited until Trump came to power in America, at which point Saudi interventions intensified and reached their peak in recent threats against the UAE and the Southern Transitional Council.

2- As for the increased Saudi intervention in Hadramawt after the Trump administration took office, it is quite evident. Since the beginning of 2025, Saudi Arabia has been exerting considerable influence in Hadramawt, contacting tribal leaders and cultivating followers. It found its ideal partner, Amr bin Habrish, the leader of

the Hadramawt Tribal Alliance and the First Deputy Governor of Hadramawt. Saudi Arabia provided him with support and empowered him, leading him to seek greater control and dominance in Hadramawt. (In February 2025, bin Habrish escalated his activities by forming the "Hadramawt Protection Forces," coinciding with the announcement of the oil export halt. (Al Jazeera Net, 3/12/2025)). He was then received by senior officials in Riyadh, including the Minister of Defense and the Chief of Staff of the Saudi Army, after Saudi Arabia sent a military plane to transport him from Seiyun to Riyadh and showered him with support (Al-Arab Newspaper, 29/3/2025). Upon his return from Riyadh, he announced in May 2025 the establishment of six military brigades comprising 35,000 fighters, and the formation of new units, specialized security forces, such as private security and rescue services, and finally, Saudi Arabia pushed its man, Amr bin Habrish, to take control of the oil companies, which was the straw that broke the camel's back for the Transitional Council led by Aidarus al-Zubaidi, so he rolled up his sleeves to bring Hadramawt back under his control, which ignited the crisis.

3- Then there is another matter, which is that the vast Hadramawt Governorate sits atop a precious treasure of natural mineral wealth, including rare earth minerals such as scandium, which was discovered in abundant quantities in the coastal Hadramawt districts of Brom Mayfa and Hajar. Scandium is used in the manufacture of aircraft and spacecraft, as confirmed by Aden City website, 7/11/2025, quoting the Geological Survey Authority in Hadramawt. This discovery in Hadramawt would put Yemen on the global map of rare earth minerals. In addition, the black sands of Hadramawt are rich in minerals such as ilmenite, rutile, zircon, and magnetite, which international companies are competing to invest in. There is also oil, marble, and granite in

Hadramawt. "Yet Yemen stands out as the only Arab country that has secured a place for itself on the list of countries producing rare earth minerals in the Middle East..." (Energy Platform, based in Washington, 8/7/2025). These rare earth elements are what has driven the Trump administration in many of its international policies to counter China's dominance over these rare earth elements, which govern sensitive industrial processes such as electronic chips.

4- Thus, it becomes clear that the Trump administration is the one that pushed Saudi Arabia to tamper with the stability of Hadramawt. The attempts by the tribal alliance, led by Bin Habrish, to seize control of oil companies and escalate calls for autonomy prompted the British-backed group (the UAE and its local proxies, such as the Southern Transitional Council) to attack and seize Hadramawt, along with Al-Mahrah Governorate. This drove Saudi Arabia, or rather the Trump administration, into a frenzy, resulting in harsh measures against the UAE—measures unprecedented since their alliance in Operation Decisive Storm in 2015. These harsh measures included bombing Emirati weapons and threatening its allies in the Southern Transitional Council. This indicates the great seriousness with which the Trump administration views this issue—the issue of rare earth minerals in Hadramawt. America is not out of the picture, even if it is confident in its Saudi instrument. US Secretary of State Marco Rubio contacted Saudi Foreign Minister Faisal bin Farhan "during which they discussed the situation in Yemen and issues affecting regional security and stability." (RT, 30/12/2025).

Fourth: In conclusion, the new development on the Yemeni scene is that the Trump administration is focusing on Hadramawt because of its rare earth minerals, which would enable America

to counter China's dominance in this sensitive sector that governs other industrial operations. This also likely leads to a shift in the allegiance of Yemeni leaders from the British to America, most notably President Rashad al-Alimi. It should be noted that Chinese companies are already exploring for rare earth minerals in Hadramawt, so securing these minerals is a matter of urgency for Trump before China gains control over them. Thus, the agents of the kafir (disbelieving) nations are instigating the fighting in Yemen to meet the objectives of their masters. This series of tragic events continues not only in Yemen but also in Sudan and other Muslim countries, where Muslims kill each other in conflicts that their agent rulers convince them are in their best interest, encouraging them to sacrifice everything. However, these conflicts are in reality fought in defense of the interests of the kafir nations. This series will continue until the strongest group in the nation rises up, overpowers its rulers, holds them to a severe reckoning, and establishes a state of justice, mercy, and guidance—the Khilafah (Caliphate) State will be established according to the method of the Prophethood, after which there will be whatever good Allah wills for Islam and Muslims, blessings from heaven, grace, glory, and dignity.

﴿إِنَّ اللَّهَ بِالْعُلُوِّ أَمْرٍهُ قَدْ جَعَلَ اللَّهُ لِكُلِّ شَيْءٍ قَدْرًا﴾

“Certainly Allah achieves His Will. Allah has already set a destiny for everything.” [At-Talaq: 3]

And tomorrow is near for those who wait.

22 Rajab 1447 AH

11 January 2026 CE

To the Muslims Generally and Their People of Military Power and Protection



To the people of military power and protection among the sons of the Islamic Ummah, and the influentially strong within it, including ulema, Dawah carriers, and thinkers:

- Fear Allah ﷺ concerning your Ummah, and do not be helpers of the oppressors, nor false witnesses to its fragmentation.
- Let your loyalty be to Allah ﷺ, to His Messenger ﷺ, and to your Ummah, not to the nationalistic borders drawn by colonialism and its subservient regimes.
- Align yourselves with the project of the Ummah, the project of the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood, for it alone is capable of stopping the bloodshed, deterring aggression, and liberating the decision-making.

Only under the Khilafah (Caliphate) can we live a truly Islamic life in obedience to Allah ﷺ. Only under the Khilafah (Caliphate) are honor and dignity preserved. Only under the Khilafah (Caliphate) can the Ummah be unified and its lands protected. And only under the Khilafah can we reclaim the Ummah's plundered wealth and violated rights. So, O shebaab (young men and women) of the Ummah, roll up your sleeves and work diligently with Hizb ut Tahrir, the guide who does not lie, betray, or deceive its people, until our efforts are crowned with victory (nasr) and empowerment (tamkeen) for the Islamic Ummah and the establishment of the Deen of Allah ﷺ.

﴿فَلَنَسْأَلَنَّ الَّذِينَ أُرْسَلَ إِلَيْهِمْ وَلَنَسْأَلَنَّ الْمُرْسَلِينَ * فَلَنَقْصَنَنَّ عَلَيْهِمْ بِعِلْمٍ وَمَا كُنَّا غَائِبِينَ * وَأَوْرُنُ يَوْمَئِذٍ الْحَقُّ فَمَنْ ثَقَلَتْ مَوَازِينُهُ فَأُوْلَئِكَ هُمُ الْمُفْلِحُونَ * وَمَنْ خَفَّتْ مَوَازِينُهُ فَأُوْلَئِكَ الَّذِينَ خَسِرُوا أَنْفُسَهُمْ بِمَا كَانُوا بِأَيَّاتِنَا يَظْلِمُونَ﴾ “We will surely question those who received messengers and We will question the messengers themselves. Then We will give them a full account with sure knowledge—for We were never absent. The weighing on that Day will be just. As for those whose scale will be heavy with good deeds, only they will be successful. But those whose scale is light, they have doomed themselves for wrongfully denying Our signs.”

Climb Aboard the Ship of Liberation (Tahrir), O People of Yemen!

When we were unified as one Ummah, distinct from all other peoples, our state extended its Rayah banner over all lands; it was the protector, the guardian, and the deterrent against anyone who dared to attack even a single Muslim. We were like one body, each part supporting the other with assistance, help, and reinforcement. If one part suffered, the rest of the body would respond with sleeplessness and fever! Then the enemies understood that this unity and this state were the source of the Islamic Ummah's strength and its protective shield, so they targeted that shield, striving to dismantle it. They exerted tremendous effort over many long years until, unfortunately, the enemies achieved their goal and abolished the Khilafah in 1924 CE, tearing the Islamic Ummah into many fragments to prevent its return to its former glory!

The ongoing war in Yemen is not merely a local or regional conflict. Instead it is an arena for the redistribution of international influence through regional proxies. Yemen is no longer just a stage for conflict. It has become a real test of America's strategy in the region.

The United States is now seeking to close the Yemen issue, not for humanitarian reasons, but to realign the region and protect its strategic interests, using Saudi Arabia as an implementing tool on the ground. Saudi Arabia, which has borne the brunt of the war for years, is being exploited to direct and pressure Yemeni factions in a way that serves American interests, while the U.S. remains distanced from any direct confrontation.

In this equation, the winner is not the one who fights on the ground, but the one who plans from afar, and the biggest losers are the people of Yemen, who pay the price in blood, destruction, the plundering of their resources, and the exploitation of their country's vital geographical location for international trade.

The true liberation (tahrir) for the people of Yemen, and for all the peoples of the fragmented Muslim world, will not come through waiting or passive reliance on others, but through tireless work to restore the state, dignity, and honor. This is the call of Hizb ut Tahrir, the guide who does not lie to his people, urging you to action and to strive to reclaim lost glory. Climb aboard the ship of liberation (tahrir)!

Sudanese Regime Security Forces Arrest Nine Members of Hizb ut Tahrir



أجبرت أمن النظام في السودان

تقتل ٩ من شباب حزب التحرير

Following three demonstrations held by members of Hizb ut Tahrir in different locations across Omdurman on the morning of Wednesday, 25 Rajab 1447 AH, corresponding to January 14, 2026, to commemorate the 105th anniversary of the destruction of the Khilafah in Rajab 1342 AH, security forces arrested five members of the Hizb: Al-Radi Muhammad Ibrahim, FadlAllah Ali Suleiman, Omar Al-Bashir, Hassan Fadl, and Mujahid Adam.

Furthermore, following a demonstration held by members of Hizb ut Tahrir in Sudan at the Grand Masjid square in El-Obeid, where Ustadh Al-Nadhir Muhammad Hussein, a member of Hizb ut Tahrir, addressed the crowd after Salah of Jumuah on Friday, 27 Rajab 1447 AH, corresponding to January 16, 2026, security forces arrested four members of Hizb ut Tahrir: Al-Nadhir

Muhammad Hussein, Amin Abdul Karim, Abdul Aziz Ibrahim, and Ahmed Musa.

Ar-Rayah Newspaper:

The crime of these young men is that they remind the Ummah of the life of dignity in obedience to Allah ﷺ, when the Ummah had a state based on Islam, and how our situation has become today after the Khilafah was destroyed, where we have fallen into humiliation, disgrace, and subservience to the Kuffar, colonialist West.

Should those who call for the glory of Islam and Muslims, and for establishing the Shariah obligation from Allah ﷺ, the Lord of the Worlds, under the rule of an Islamic state, the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood, be criminalized and arrested, or should they be honored and followed?!

Selective Justice Reproduces Injustice!

By: Ustadh Ahmed Al-Surani

Since the fall of the Bashar al-Assad regime, there has been much talk in Syria about the necessity of achieving transitional justice, that is, justice that guarantees the transition from an unjust and tyrannical regime, to a new system that provides justice, to a people who have suffered the most brutal forms of oppression and repression for fourteen years.

However, here the fundamental question arises: who are the criminals who deserve to be brought to justice? And have they truly received the accountability and punishment they deserve, which would heal the hearts of grieving mothers and soothe the wounds of the suffering Syrian people in general?

Undoubtedly, the first to be held accountable are the political, military, and security leaders of Bashar al-Assad's regime. However, reality shows the exact opposite. Many of them have been granted amnesty or overlooked. For example, Muhammad Ghazi al-Jalali, the former Prime Minister, was met in Damascus on the day of liberation, and friendly conversations were exchanged with him during the handover of power in Damascus, instead of being arrested and prosecuted. Later, we see him participating in the annual liberation anniversary celebrations!

As for Fadi Sakr, one of the heads of the military and security criminal gangs, he has become a founding member of the National Reconciliation Committee, and is mediating the release of regime thugs and soldiers from prisons under the vague and broad pretext that "their hands are not stained with blood," even though Fadi Sakr's own involvement and complicity in bloodshed are well-documented. He is one of the direct perpetrators of the

massacres in the Tadamon neighborhood and the crimes committed by the National Defense Forces, which he headed in Damascus.

As for the judges of the former regime, who issued death sentences and long prison terms against thousands of innocent people, some of them continued to work normally in the courts of the new Syrian administration, ruling according to the same laws established by the previous regime; the very laws that were a major cause of the misery and injustice suffered by the people of ash-Sham.

The same applies to the pillars of the regime's economic system, such as Muhammad Hamsho, whose exoneration was signed off on, and his past crimes were erased with a stroke of a pen. He continues to conduct his business without any accountability, even though he deserves to be prosecuted for his crimes and his unlimited financial support for Bashar al-Assad's regime, support that was directly used to kill those who participated in the revolution.

Even prominent figures from the Bashar al-Assad regime who were arrested, such as Interior Minister Muhammad al-Shaar, who also served as head of the Political Security Directorate, and Atef Najib, head of the Military Security Directorate in Daraa and Bashar al-Assad's cousin, who played a pivotal role in instigating the protests in Daraa at the beginning of the revolution, in addition to Ibrahim Huwaija, head of the Air Force Intelligence Directorate, and the regime's Grand Mufti, Ahmad Hassoun, were shown in videos supposedly undergoing trials. But is it conceivable that after a full year, their trials have not concluded, and nothing has been proven against them that would warrant

the application of the death penalty or even prosecution under the positive law adopted by the new administration?

The reality is that none of them have been genuinely prosecuted, and none of the regime's criminal henchmen have been held accountable. Instead, we see them being released in batches, to the point that the prisons are almost empty of them!

In contrast, these widespread releases of the Bashar al-Assad regime's thugs and their cronies coincided with the trial of nearly thirty young men from Hizb ut-Tahrir, who received unjust sentences ranging from three to ten years. All of them had been detained before the liberation, and their only crime, according to those who arrested them, was holding dissenting opinions. They had opposed Hayat Tahrir al-Sham's (HTS) approach at the time, which involved freezing the front lines, submitting to Turkish influence, and complying with the dictates of countries seeking reconciliation with the criminal regime, ultimately leading to the American-backed political solution and the implementation of Resolution 2254, which called for integrating the opposition with the regime, forming a joint government, and forgetting the criminal past of the al-Assad family.

The injustice was not limited to the members of Hizb ut-Tahrir, but also affected other prisoners of conscience, some of whom were arrested before the liberation, such as Sheikh Abu Shu'aib al-Masri and Abu Yahya al-Jaza'iri, while others were recently arrested for expressing opinions contrary to al-Jolani's government, or for a video or comment they posted on social media. Examples include the arrest of the American journalist, Bilal Abdul Karim, in the city of al-Bab, as if he were a war criminal, after roads were blocked and he was surrounded by a large number of military vehicles, as well as the arrest of the

journalist Murad Mahalli in the city of Jarablus, after his house was raided, its occupants insulted, and his media equipment destroyed.

Even migrants who supported the revolution were not spared from repression and arrest, such as Abu Dujana al-Turkistani and Abu Islam al-Uzbeki, not to mention the military attack launched by the security forces of the new administration on the camp of French migrants, before they retreated under media pressure. Even those who call for opening new fronts to end the separatist rebellion face the same fate, as happened with the activist Abu Shabouh al-Furati, who appeared in a video statement with a number of fighters and called for the liberation of the Syrian Jazeera region from the separatist SDF forces, only to end up in prison.

What we are witnessing today, quite clearly, is a declaration of tolerance, forgiveness, and pardon for criminals and murderers, in blatant violation of the standards of justice, while those who express their opinions are persecuted and criminalized, in a clear violation of the law and the constitutional declaration adopted by the administration itself.

This is in addition to the criminalization of those who once came to support the rebellious people, in order to appease foreign directives, especially after the administration joined the American alliance to fight “terrorism” according to the Western definition, i.e., Islam.

In other words, the Syrian administration is not practicing genuine transitional justice, nor even the minimum standards of justice. Instead, it is practicing selective political hostility against broad segments of the Syrian people and against the revolutionary base, which now finds itself marginalized at all

levels and even persecuted, if it demands the achievement of the revolution's goals. Meanwhile, the base of the former regime is being courted and attempts are being made to win it over as an alternative to the revolutionary base.

Transitional justice is not a slogan to be chanted, nor a media commodity to be consumed. It is a real process that can only be achieved by holding criminals accountable, without exception, providing justice to victims without discrimination, and protecting freedom of opinion and expression without selectivity. Any political project that ignores these values, or replaces justice with deals, and accountability with amnesties, will not establish a stable state. It will only reproduce injustice in a new guise, and sow the seeds of an inevitable future conflict.

Either there will be true justice that honors the blood and sacrifices, and this will only be achieved by implementing the system of Islam, or we will be walking into a dark tunnel that threatens the hopes of the Syrians and empties liberation of its meaning.

Defense Cooperation Between Jordan and Pakistan: Dimensions and Questions

Jordan and Pakistan explored avenues to bolster defense and training cooperation between the two countries. This occurred during the reception hosted by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the Jordanian Armed Forces, Major General Yousef al-Huneiti, in his office in the capital Amman, for the Chief of Army Staff of the Pakistan Army, Field Marshal Asim Munir.

A statement from the Jordanian Armed Forces noted that the two sides discussed “aspects of joint military cooperation and coordination, as well as ways to strengthen bilateral cooperation ties between the armed forces of the two countries.” This visit comes as a continuation of a prior trip by Field Marshal Asim Munir to the Kingdom in late October 2025, as well as Jordanian King Abdullah II’s visit to the Global Industrial and Defense Solutions (GIDS) in the Pakistani capital Islamabad, where the King was briefed on the operations of the state-owned firm, which specializes in research, development, and manufacturing of defense equipment employing advanced technology.

The exchange of visits between the two countries is not a recent development. Relations between them are historical, but recent events and the tense regional situation have imposed new directions. There have been multiple interpretations of these moves. Some link them to the strategic alliance between India and the Jewish entity, an alliance that represents a direct challenge to Pakistan’s national security. Proponents of this view contend that the development of Jordanian-Pakistani relations is aimed at countering the Jewish entity’s provision to India of advanced air defense systems, such as the Barak 8 system and

Elbit Systems Hermes 900 Kochav drones, along with electronic warfare technologies that bolster New Delhi's capabilities in border conflicts.

However, the reality may be quite different. Jordan's relationship with the Jewish entity is characterized by a depth that makes it inconceivable for Jordan to enter into alliances that threaten the security of the Jewish entity, not only in accordance with the obligations of the Wadi Araba Agreement, but also because the nature of the relationship between the Jordanian regime and the entity transcends all official considerations. It is a relationship known to all. Jordan does not form alliances against the Jews or against their relations with other powers.

Likewise, this rapprochement cannot be regarded as a result of the far-right's actions in the West Bank, as some promote the notion that the visit "bolsters Jordan's stance toward the Palestinian cause" or "pressures 'Israel' to back down from its support for India on issues like Kashmir." This proposal, which speaks of a "geographical and diplomatic encirclement" of the Jewish entity through tripartite agreements with Turkey or Saudi Arabia, contradicts political realities. Pakistan closely follows American political trends and has even made concessions on the issue of Kashmir, which is part of its territory. So how can it provide real benefit to Palestine when the powerful actor in the region is the United States, which holds the reins of the issue?

These interpretations appear far removed from reality, and the matter may be even further afield and more perilous, as it falls within the new American strategy, based on repositioning its forces and shifting its weight to the Western Hemisphere. The Trump administration sees limited benefit in direct intervention except in cases involving economic gain or explicit threats to its

interests, and it requires proxy or substitute forces to fill the vacuum. Washington will not find better partners than the countries that revolve in its orbit and are its agents to play this role under the name of “Islamic Military Alliance” or “Arab NATO.” Therefore, what is anticipated is that these agreements serve as a prelude for substituting forces, to replace American troops in the event of their withdrawal, while manipulating public sentiments through claims that they are directed against the “Indian-Jewish” alliance, or in service of the Palestinian cause.

In conclusion, these forces will not deviate from the context of the subordinate roles assigned to them, nor will they touch the relationship with the Jewish entity. It is truly sad that these armies are being mobilized to serve the interests of the major powers, while they act incapable regarding support of the causes of the Ummah in Kashmir or Palestine, when their fundamental purpose is to protect the sanctities of Islam, not to look after the interests of its enemies.

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir / Wilayah Jordan: Seminar: “The Radical Solution and the Ummah’s Vital Issue”



The Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Jordan organized a digital seminar on the occasion of the 105th anniversary of the destruction of the Khilafah, entitled, **“The Radical Solution and the Ummah’s Vital Issue.”**

The seminar included the following main topics:

- * A reflection on the speech of the Ameer of Hizb ut Tahrir, the esteemed scholar Ata Bin Khalil Abu Rashta (may Allah protect him), on the anniversary of the destruction of the Khilafah (Caliphate).
- * The bankruptcy of capitalism and its attempts to mislead the Ummah and divert it from its cause.

* The Caliphate: its system of governance, the evidence for its obligation, and how to re-establish it.

A large audience attended the event and actively participated in the sessions by asking relevant questions, concerning the necessity of establishing a Khilafah as a radical solution to the Ummah's vital issues, the Islamic ruling on the Shariah obligation to work towards its establishment, and the Shariah evidence supporting this, in addition to the methodology for its establishment as practiced by the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ. These questions collectively reflected the Ummah's aspiration for the return of the Islamic state, its longing for it, and its profound interest in this matter.

The speakers answered in detail, providing further explanations where necessary, both in their presentations on the seminar's topics, and in their responses to the questions and inquiries. They successfully captured the audience's attention and emphasized the crucial importance of reestablishing the Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood and the need for serious efforts to establish it.

The Khilafah is Capable of Keeping Pace with the World's Technological Advancements and Strategic Capabilities



If established today, the Khilafah would be capable of keeping pace with the world's technological advancements and strategic capabilities. Evidence of this can be seen in the hundreds of thousands of our people who have spread throughout the world in pursuit of knowledge and learning, filling the most prestigious universities and research centers with Muslim scientists. And we have seen how these individuals became a phenomenon that bewildered the entire West when they turned out in large numbers at the most reputable universities to demonstrate and stage sit-in protests in support of Gaza.

The issue of brain drain is a temporary one that will inevitably reverse itself when the right conditions for their return are met. We have seen that whenever hope is rekindled in a Muslim

country that it will overcome corruption and rebuild itself civically, its sons and daughters flock back from around the world, to contribute their knowledge and expertise to the effort of uplifting their homeland. This confirms that the Muslim World possesses scientific and intellectual capabilities, but these are scattered across the globe. All that is needed is for the Muslim World to build a safe and secure environment, and then we will see these capabilities return like a mighty river, contributing their knowledge and expertise to build a thriving Muslim civilization that will rank among the leading nations of the world.

Therefore, Hizb ut Tahrir calls upon the sincere, active, and capable sons and daughters of the Islamic Ummah to join the ranks of those doing good deeds to establish the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood. They must realize that claims of postponing its establishment due to weakness and disunity are mere illusions that must be quickly dispelled.

The Tragedy of the Gaza Strip: The Struggle for the Awareness of the New Generation and the Media Landscape

By: Ustaadh Hayatullah al-Uzbeki

In the 21st century, victory is no longer measured solely by military superiority, like tanks, airplanes, or missile systems. Instead, the media landscape, shaping public awareness, and influencing the thoughts of the new generation have become crucial factors in determining the outcome of conflicts. How events are interpreted, how mental images are created, and the choice of words aimed at the public have become an inseparable part of today's political reality.

From this perspective, a striking event has emerged: the signing of a massive financial agreement by the Jewish entity with a private media company to produce news content targeted at the new generation of youth, and the global public opinion.

The most important aspect of media warfare is not the event itself, but the context in which it is presented. If an event is repeatedly described as “self-defense” and another as a “threat,” these interpretations eventually turn into accepted truths in the collective mind over time.

There is a fundamental truth in the laws of nature: appearances do not always reflect reality. Just as litmus paper reveals the deadly acidity of water, despite its apparent clarity, certain events act as a “political litmus,” shattering in moments perceptions built over decades.

One of the most prominent of these events is the genocide being committed by Jewish entity in Gaza. It has exposed the true face of the Western political order, which has long portrayed itself as the protector of human rights, children, and women. It has also revealed the reality of Jewish entity, which had been marketed as an “invincible state in a defensive situation.” However, this revelation is not limited to the military field; it is closely tied to a comprehensive struggle on digital platforms and the minds of the new generation.

Open-source information indicates that Jewish entity signed a contract worth nearly six million dollars with the company Clock Tower X LLC to influence the new generation’s awareness. This agreement is not just an ordinary advertising campaign; it is part of a long-term news strategy.

80% of the content produced will be targeted specifically at “Generation Z,” whose awareness is shaped in the digital environment. This is not a random choice, as this generation will play a crucial role in political decisions in the future.

The plan relies on short, impactful content, through platforms like TikTok, Instagram, YouTube, and podcasts. On these platforms, information and news are not accepted through deep analysis, but rather through emotional impact.

Through short videos, pictures, and simplified messages, complex political facts are presented in a one-sided and pre-determined context. This weakens critical thinking, and leads to the acceptance of ready-made conclusions.

The reality of Gaza is also interpreted differently using these same methods. On the one hand, the spotlight is placed on the massacres of children and women and the unprecedented

brutality, while attempts are made to justify these situations through specific media campaigns.

New Media Websites and the Information Context:

The agreement includes launching news websites and publishing analytical materials and articles that may appear, on the surface, to be independent sources, but in reality serve a single, unified political agenda.

Indirect Influence on Artificial Intelligence (AI) Systems:

One of the sensitive and modern issues is the possibility of influencing the answers of Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems by flooding digital content with a specific point of view. Systems such as ChatGPT and Gemini rely in their analysis on the large amount of available content, which may cause a fabricated narrative to become a priority source for algorithms.

This raises a fundamental question: if information sources are artificially proliferated where do the boundaries between truth and misinformation disappear? And who determines the standards of truth?

For decades, Western political thought has promoted itself as a system based on freedom and human rights. However, the tragedies of Gaza have invalidated the practical value of these claims. The indiscriminate bombing of residential areas, the killing of women and children, and the destruction of medical and educational institutions, have all exposed the falsehood of human rights slogans. The problem is not only the military action itself, but the international silence and double standards, which confirm that what is happening in Gaza is a deliberate policy, not an accidental event.

The Operation Al-Aqsa Flood emerged as a symbol of clear rejection of injustice, double standards, and the intellectual crisis that has accumulated in human awareness. This cry was not limited to a religion or race, but was rooted in basic human values, which made the protests in Washington, London, Paris, and Berlin an unprecedented test for political orders.

Despite all attempts to distort reality through digital platforms and artificial intelligence, the truth cannot be completely erased. However, the sons and daughters of the Islamic Ummah must remain fully alert and carefully analyze every piece of news and every source before accepting it.

Humanity today stands at a historical crossroads: either it accepts a system based on media deception, or it creates new political and intellectual standards based on true human values, justice, and clear awareness. The tragedy of Gaza places us directly in front of this choice, with full weight and clarity.

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا إِنْ جَاءَكُمْ فَارِسٌٰ بِنَبَيٰ فَتَبَيَّنُوا أَنْ تُصِيبُوا قَوْمًا ﴿١٠﴾ Allah ﷺ says, “**O you who believe, if a sinful person brings you news, verify it, lest you harm people out of ignorance and then become regretful for what you have done.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Hujaraat 6] And the Prophet ﷺ said, «**كَفَىٰ بِالْمُرْءِ** «**كَذِبًا أَنْ يُحَدِّثَ بِكُلِّ مَا سَمِعَ** repeat everything he hears.”

The Anniversary of the Demolition of the Khilafah (Caliphate): A Lesson in Awareness and the Sunnah of Revival

By Ustadh Sami Yahya – Wilayah of Yemen

The demolition of the Khilafah (Caliphate) was not a fleeting historical event, nor a sentimental memory to be marked merely by tears and elegies. Instead, it was a political and civilizational earthquake the Ummah had not witnessed since the passing of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. That day, it was not only a state that was destroyed; the unifying political framework that preserved the Deen, safeguarded the Ummah, and unified it under the authority of the Shariah, was torn down. Thus, Ahmad Shawqi spoke truly when he made the minarets and minabir wail, and made al-Hind, Egypt, Al-Sham, Iraq, and Persia weep because they realized that what had been demolished was not merely an Ottoman structure alone, but the very entity of the Ummah itself.

On the twenty-eighth of Rajab 1342 AH, corresponding to March 3, 1924, a carefully orchestrated crime was completed, led by European powers, foremost among them Britain, and executed by local hands through Mustafa Kemal. The Khilafah (Caliphate) was abolished, and the occupying armies did not leave Istanbul until they had ensured its uprooting from the very roots, the establishment of a secular state separating Islam from governance, excluding Shariah from life, and transforming the Ummah from a single political community, into a scattering of states and borders.

With the demolition of the Khilafah (Caliphate), it was not a sultanate or a throne that fell alone. Instead, concepts also

collapsed. The concept of a state founded upon the aqeedah fell, replaced by the concept of a state founded upon nationalistic interests. Political ethics collapsed, replaced by utilitarianism and opportunism. The shade of Dar al-Islam, which had sheltered Muslims across the east and west of the earth, was lifted.

Speaking about this anniversary is not nostalgia for the past, nor a revisionist rehashing of history. It is a diagnosis of the disease and an exposition of the divine sunan (laws) of victory and decline. When the Uthmani Khilafah (Ottoman Caliphate) arose, it arose upon Jihad, Shariah, and discipline. When it weakened, this did not occur suddenly. Instead, it followed the accumulation of deviations, neglect of the Arabic language, the closing of the door of ijtihad, abandonment of proper nurturing, and tolerance of doctrinal and intellectual deviation. The result was the removal of empowerment, for the laws of Allah do not favor anyone.

More dangerous than the demolition of the Khilafah (Caliphate) itself is what followed it. A political vacuum was not left by chance. It was filled with two great obstacles that obstructed the revival of the Ummah:

The first obstacles: the ruling regimes that inherited from colonialism and carried its project, forcibly preventing the Ummah from restoring its unification and ruling by Islam.

The second, and more dangerous, obstacle: the distortion of awareness from within, through Islamic and non-Islamic groups alike either by integrating into the game drawn by the colonialists, or by reducing the Deen to individual morals and individual ritual acts of worship, or by raising the slogan of “discharging one’s duty” to convince people that silence, partial work, or abstention from politics suffices before Allah ﷺ. Thus, the Ummah was sedated in the name of secular religiosity, and

the idea of an ideological political structure was distorted, despite the fact that both history and Shariah affirm that the Deen is not established by individuals alone, the message is not carried without an entity, and the Ummah is not restored without an aware, organized structure.

Hence, commemorating the anniversary of the demolition of the Khilafah (Caliphate) is about restoring due consideration to major truths: that the unification of Muslims is not a luxury; that collective ideological, political work is not an innovation; and that the Khilafah (Caliphate) is not a romantic dream, but a Shariah, rational, and historical necessity by which the sanctities are preserved, Shariah hudud are implemented, honor is protected, and the Ummah is safeguarded from fragmentation and dependency.

This anniversary is also a call to connect new generations to their recent history, not to stop only at the era of the Companions (ra), despite its immense stature, but to realize that this Ummah, in the modern era, experienced a state that ruled for six centuries, whose armies reached the heart of Europe and which was a global power reckoned with. The loss of the Khilafah (Caliphate) was not because Islam is incapable, but because Muslims fell short and deviated from Islam.

The lessons are clear and unambiguous: empowerment (tamkeen) is conditioned upon obedience and justice, not slogans; enemies plan over long periods and are not confronted by emotional reactions; the unity of the Ummah is the source of its strength, and therefore it was dismantled; the Khilafah (Caliphate) is the political framework that preserves the Deen and orders worldly affairs; sound nurturing and doctrinal and political awareness are conditions for steadfastness, not mere

rhetorical ornamentation; and all honor lies in Islam, not in importing systems of disbelief, regardless of how they are adorned with the names of freedom and progress.

Secular Turkey tried everything the Ummah is promised today: separating Deen from the state, changing identity, fighting Shariah, yet it attained neither honor, nor European acceptance, nor an alternative glory. Instead, it lost its former glory and remained suspended between two worlds.

Therefore, the Shariah obligation today upon the entire Islamic Ummah is not to weep over the ruins of the Khilafah (Caliphate), but to transform the memory of its demolition into awareness, awareness into commitment, and to elevate both into collective, ideological, political action, so that its return becomes a permanent public demand, not a seasonal slogan, and so that the Muslim discharges his Shariah obligation before Allah ﷺ not by words alone, but by treading the correct path to resume the Islamic way of life through establishing the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) upon the Method of Prophethood, with Hizb ut Tahrir, the guide that does not lie to its people.