



Issue 18 - Shawaal 1439 AH / July 2018 CE

The Vast Majority of Political Prisoners in Russia are the Shabab from Hizb ut Tahrir!

Calls for Referendum Confirm Man-Made Laws are Dispensable

Let us Worship Allah (swt) Accordingly

Highlighting The Prophet's (saw) Journey to Taif

The Prophet's (saw) Methodology for Revival - 14th Halaqah

**Q&A:
Trump's Withdrawal from the Nuclear Deal**



Trump's Caging of Migrant Children Exemplifies the Inhumane Unconscionable Nature of America's Nationalistic Democratic System



Trump's Caging of Migrant Children Exemplifies the Inhumane Unconscionable Nature of America's Nationalistic Democratic System

Press Statement

Under the American President's vile 'zero-tolerance' immigration policy, around 2000 children, some as young as 4 or 5, of those classified as 'illegal immigrants', have been forcibly separated from their parents at the US-Mexico border over the past six weeks alone. They have been placed in wire mesh cages in detention centres, whose conditions have been described as 'prison-like'. One of these immigrant centres in Texas has been nick named La Perrera, "The dog kennel", where children are sleeping on the floor on thin mattresses with foil sheets as blankets. Audio has been obtained of traumatized children wailing in distress, crying out for their parents. Authorities have announced plans to build tent cities that will hold hundreds more children in the Texas desert where temperatures regularly reach 40 degrees Celsius.

While there has been an outpouring of anger and condemnation from US politicians, western media and even former first ladies against Trump's barbaric treatment of child migrants, they have conveniently ignored the fact that it is the callous nationalistic US Democratic system that allowed the anti-immigration Flores Settlement decree to be previously passed by Congress that permits the authorities to legally separate children from their parents in this horrendous way. This 'unconscionable' policy is therefore not simply the product of a heartless President. It is one of the rotten fruits of the 'unconscionable' US democratic system that lays open the door to such vile policies being enacted and sanctioned by law, based on the sickening nationalistic prejudices of those who govern. What further proof is needed to demonstrate the utter inhumanity, absurdity and outright racism of nationalism and the nation state political model, that vilifies, dehumanizes, criminalizes, and traumatizes the children of those who are simply seeking a better economic life for themselves and their families, but happened to be born in a different land? Trump had no qualms about describing immigrants as those who bring "death and destruction" to the country and as "thieves and murderers", knowing that he would face no judicial repercussions for his sick, inflammatory, racist remarks. The very fact that a state that has the strongest economy in the world and a huge land mass, refuses entry to those from a neighbouring land, due to viewing them as an economic burden, speaks volumes

about the stone-hearted, selfish nature of the materialistic capitalist system and its nation state politics which has failed humanity. We have also witnessed similarly brutal and heartless anti-immigration stances adopted by the capitalist nation states of Europe, Australia and the Muslim world – where the most desperate people fleeing persecution and slaughter have been denied refuge, have been treated in the most humiliating manner and pushed back to die in war zones or abandoned to drown at sea, simply because they are from a different nationality to the land in which they seek sanctuary.

In contrast to the US nationalistic democratic system that has shown the world that it is the beacon of inhumanity, the Khilafah (Caliphate) based upon the method of the Prophethood rejects the concept of inhumane nationalistic borders as well as nationalism or racism of any form. Rather, it will invite those from other lands to come and live under its rule so that they can taste first-hand the justice and prosperity of the System of Allah (swt). This is why the Khilafah of the past provided sanctuary to more than 150,000 Jewish refugees fleeing persecution from the Christians during the Spanish Inquisition and enabled them to flourish under its Islamic rule. The Khalifah at the time, Sultan Bayezid II also sent out edicts throughout the state that the refugees were to be welcomed. In his proclamation, the Sultan told the Jews that it was Allah's Command to take care of them, to see that they had food to eat and to take them under his protection. All this exemplifies the difference between a system whose aim is to lift mankind from oppression, as opposed to a system whose defining quality is to impose it upon humanity!

إِنَّ اللَّهَ يَأْمُرُ بِالْعَدْلِ وَالْإِحْسَانِ وَإِيتَاءِ ذِي الْقُرْبَىٰ وَيَنْهَىٰ عَنِ الْفَحْشَاءِ وَالْمُنْكَرِ وَالْبَغْيِ
يَعْظُمُ لِعَظْمِكُمْ تَذَكَّرُونَ

"Indeed Allah orders justice and the doing of good (to others) and the giving to the kindred, and He forbids indecency and evil and rebellion. He admonishes you that you may be mindful." [16: 90]

Dr. Nazreen Nawaz
Director of the Women's Section in
The Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir

The Vast Majority of Political Prisoners in Russia are the Shabab from Hizb ut Tahrir!



News

On 14 June IA “Memo.ru” reports: “In the lead-up to the World Cup in Russia, “Memorial” published the lists of political prisoners. The list of those persecuted in connection with the realization of the right to freedom of religion and religious affiliation grew from 97 to 108 people. The vast majority of those whose names were included in this list are accused of participating in the organization “Hizb ut-Tahrir al-Islami”, declared terrorist in Russia without any grounds – these are the convicted in two cases in Moscow and Bashkortostan Aidar Aydarbekov, Radik Imangulov, Sukhrob Ironov, Mirzobahovaddin Kurbonov, Nail Miniakhmetov, Akmalchon Numonchonov, Maxim Puigin, Naimjon Khodzhaev, Albert Shafiev; and Nariman Memedeminov, a Crimean Tatar activist accused in a similar case. There are 158 people in the lists that we publish today: 50 of them are

included in the general list of political prisoners, 108 - in the list of persons deprived of their liberty in connection with the realization of the right to freedom of religion.”

Comment

There are 237 Shabab currently deprived of liberty for Hizb ut Tahrir cases as a whole in Memorial’s list. There are also women on the lists. Shabab are sentenced to an unconditional prison terms of up to 19 years of imprisonment. Today, 20 Shabab are being tried in Bashkiria. The prosecutor demanded to sentence these Shabab to 25 years of imprisonment and a fine of 500 thousand rubles.

The barbarous Russia has long positioned itself as an enemy of Islam and Muslims. Looking in the history at a quick glance, we can see that, as far back as the 16th century, Tsar Ivan the Terrible had seized

the lands of Muslims – the Kazan, Astrakhan and Siberian Khanates. Capturing the city, these barbarians did not spare any women, old men, or children plundered the cities and killed hundreds of thousands of Muslims.

The military campaigns of the barbarians to the lands of Muslims continued in the 17-18th centuries, this happened in the Caucasus. The 19th century was marked by a war against the Ottoman Caliphate. The newly formed dictatorship of communism in the early 20th century in the USSR, on the basis of a materialistic idea, continued the war against Islam and Muslims in the direction of Central Asia. After the Second World War, the regime of disbelieving communists carried out the mass purges of the Muslims of the Crimea and the Caucasus. The Crimean Tatars, Chechens, Ingush, Dagestani were all sent to Central Asia. In Central Asia itself, hundreds

of thousands of Muslims were killed, including most theologians and scholars.

This hateful policy towards Islam and Muslims continues today. The authorities persecute the Muslims of Russia with particular cruelty and sophistication, in particular the Shabab from Hizb ut-Tahrir. To arrest one Shabab of Hizb ut Tahrir, barbaric power sends about 20 military special forces armed to the teeth in special uniforms and masks. No power in any other country in the world accuses Hizb ut Tahrir of a terrorist organization, unlike the Russian authorities. No authority in any other country in the world can pass a verdict on the Shabab of Hizb from 15 to 20 years of imprisonment. As far as the barbaric power in Russia is afraid of Islam and the word of truth from Hizb ut Tahrir's Shabab!!!

Therefore, the position of Muslims in relation to the barbaric power of Russia should be clear and definite. The Muslims face an enemy on behalf of the Russian government, which persecutes Muslims not only in Russia, but also in Syria, Central Asia and other parts of the world.

The deliverance of Muslims of Russia, Central Asia and other countries from the barbarous enmity of the Russian authorities is in the image of our beloved Prophet Muhammad (saw), namely, the revival of the righteous state of the Khilafah (Caliphate) by the method of Prophethood. This is an ideological and political struggle together with Hizb ut Tahrir against the regimes of tyrants and disbelievers.

With the revival of the righteous state, the Khilafah (Caliphate), headed by the righteous ruler, Muslims will find a shield that will protect all Muslims of the world from the persecution of disbelieving colonizers and their henchmen, in the face of the corrupt Muslim rulers. As our beloved Prophet Muhammad (saw) said:

«إنما الإمام جنة يقاتل من ورائه و يتقى به»

“The Imam is a shield, behind whom you fight and you protect yourself with.” The hadith narrated by Imam Muslim.”

And may Allah help us.

Eldar Khamzin
Member of the Central Media
Office of Hizb ut Tahrir

Calls for Referendum Confirm Man-Made Laws are Dispensable depending on the Interests of the Colonial Powers



News:

Kenyan media is awashed with reports of divisive politicking on the issue of whether we have a referendum or not. The referendum lid was pulled off by Mr Kassait Kamket, the little-known KANU MP from Baringo County's Tiaty constituency, who drafted a Bill that seeks to change the power structure from presidential to parliamentary. On one hand, we have Raila Odinga (ODM leader) and his allies supporting it while the Deputy President William Ruto and his allies vehemently opposing it.

Comments:

After World II, which saw the decline of Britain's global superiority due to the inflicted dire economic situation by its participation in the war. It resolved to indirect British rule in its colonies while it concentrates on its domestic policy, which was

being ravaged by the economic devastation. This led to the emergence of British colonial agent rulers across its colonies, disguised as statesmen, revolutionaries and liberation champions against white colonial rule. Kenya as a colonial secular state, which was under direct British rule for more than six decades was given a conniving 'flag independence' which saw millions of Kenyans celebrate with joy for being under self (black) rule. Alas! They were in for a rude shock it was only a change of faces but the colonial secular capitalist ideology and its systems was implemented on them but this time with their compatriots who were non-other than subservient agent rulers serving the Crown at all cost at the expense of them.

America on the other hand, after World War II it emerged Superior on the global political sphere. Hence, it took advantage of the British's demised influence and spearheaded

a global agenda against British colonies to win them over their camp. In Kenya, the American campaign was led by Jaramogi Oginga then later on by his son Raila Odinga and on British camp was led by Jomo Kenyatta, Daniel Moi, Mwai Kibaki and now Uhuru Kenyatta. Since then, Kenya's Political struggle in Kenya remains between those in the American and British camps.

Such that all the referendums and constitutional changes witnessed in Kenya were initiated by either the American camp or British camp for the sake of their interests via their agent leaders. Camps either approved or opposed the changes openly after being assured their positions are intact and that the changes will not affect their status quo. No wonder fifty-five years after the 'false independence', Kenyans are still ravaged in Extreme-Poverty, Landlessness, Homelessness, Shocking unemployment levels,

Cont... page 6

Collapsing education and health sectors etc. Because all along the political struggle is not about them Kenyans, instead they are just political pawns being used as ladders for the selfish agent individuals to maintain (British) or ascend (America) into power. To elaborate further I briefly provide the following:

Some of the Constitutional Changes undertaken (pushed) by those in the British camp to maintain/remain in power:

1. 1963 – Kenya’s 1963 independence constitution provides for a multi-party parliamentary system. Jomo Kenyatta is installed as Kenya’s first Prime Minister.
2. 1964 – Kenya becomes a republic and Jomo Kenyatta becomes Kenya’s first President, with the position of Prime Minister scrapped.
3. 1966 – Provincial assemblies scrapped. Senate dissolved and its members combined with those of the House of Representatives into a unicameral National Assembly.
4. 1969 – New constitution adopted, consolidating amendments already made to the 1963 independence constitution, and further strengthening presidential powers.
5. 1982 – Multi-partism is abolished making Kenya a single party state with KANU as the ruling party. This was followed by the mlolongo system where secret-ballots were no longer used.
6. 2005 - Wako Draft (named after the Attorney General, Amos Wako) – Put forward unilaterally by the government instead of the Bomas Draft, which it rejected. A modified version of the 1969 constitution but got rid of 25% requirement in general elections that requires the winner in the Presidential election to have 25% in at least 5 provinces. The winner would also have to get more than 50% of the vote, else an instant re-run would occur.

Some of the Constitutional Changes undertaken (pushed) by those in the American Camp to create opportunities for them to ascend into Power:

1. 1991 – President Moi in December 1991 at a KANU delegates meeting at Kasarani Stadium, repealed Section 2A of the constitution, thereby making Kenya a multi-party state. The change enabled the introduction of term limits to the Presidency.
2. 2000 – Commission for Constitutional Reform of Kenya was set up by President Moi and Prof Yash Pal Ghai was installed as its chairman to spearhead Kenya’s first major constitutional reform.
3. 2004 - Bomas Draft (named after the location of the constitutional reform conference that adopted it) – Proposed transferring most of the powers of the office of the President elected by the people to the Prime Minister that would be elected by Parliament. In addition, there would have been checks on executive appointments. The PM would nominate MPs to become Cabinet ministers, the President would then appoint them. All appointments would require up and down votes by a members of the Senate.
4. 2005 – In November 2005, a constitutional referendum defeats government’s proposed new constitution.
5. 2008 – In March 2008, the National Assembly of Kenya passed the Nation and Reconciliation Accord Act that introduced a temporary change to the constitution introducing the position of Prime Minister and two Deputy Prime Ministers.
6. 2009 – Parliament prioritizes constitution reform through Agenda No. 4. Deliberations on how these reforms will be tackled will be discussed in the second session of the 10th Parliament that began in April 2009.
7. August 2010 – The draft constitution text is approved by a 67% margin in a national referendum. The constitution is promulgated on 27 August.

In conclusion, no matter how many times Kenyans go to the polls for constitutional changes. The primary problem facing them is the implementation of the secular

capitalist ideology and its systems that include mafia democracy, social liberalism, exploitative economic system etc to govern their life affairs. Secularism emanates from man’s limited mind based on interests, whims and desires. The solution is to replace the secular capitalist ideology and embrace the Global Islamic Call for Revival. A genuine call that aims to liberate humanity globally from the machinations of western colonialists and their invalid secular capitalist ideology and its systems. A renaissance call that ultimately calls for the reestablishment of the Khilafah (Islamic State) on the method of Prophethood in a Muslim majority country. Henceforward, the Muslim majority country will act as a nucleus for the Global unification of humanity under one just Khaleefah (Head of Islamic State) who rule by the Qur’an, Sunnah, Consensus of the Companions and Qiyas.

Ali Nassoro Ali
Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya

The Life of this World is But Fleeting, so Let us Worship Allah (swt) Accordingly

The commitment, dynamism, sense of urgency, initiative and drive that we saw in the life of RasulAllah (saaw) was not through mere notions of "time management." No, it was the outcome of a deep conviction about the reality of our time in this world, as firmly established in that which Allah (swt) has revealed. Indeed, life is perceived as being short, even by those who live longest of all of humankind. Allah (swt) said,

وَلَقَدْ أَرْسَلْنَا نُوحًا إِلَىٰ قَوْمِهِ فَلَبِثَ فِيهِمْ أَلْفَ سَنَةٍ إِلَّا خَمْسِينَ عَامًا فَأَخَذَهُمُ الطُّوفَانُ وَهُمْ ظَالِمُونَ

"And We certainly sent Noah to his people, and he remained among them a thousand years minus fifty years, and the flood seized them while they were wrongdoers." [Surah Al-Ankabut 29:14]. RasulAllah (saaw) said,

فَلَمَّا آتَاهُ مَلَكُ الْمَوْتِ، قَالَ: يَا نُوحُ، يَا أَكْبَرَ الْأَنْبِيَاءِ، وَيَا طَوِيلَ الْعُمُرِ، وَيَا مُجَابَ الدَّعْوَةِ، كَيْفَ رَأَيْتَ الدُّنْيَا؟ قَالَ: مِثْلَ رَجُلٍ بَنَىٰ لَهُ بَيْتًا لَهُ بَابَانِ، فَدَخَلَ مِنْ وَاحِدٍ، وَخَرَجَ مِنَ الْآخَرِ

"The Angel of Death came to Nuh (peace be upon him) and said: O Nuh, O longest-lived of the Prophets, how did you find this world and its pleasures? He said: Like a man who entered a room with two doors, and he stood in the middle of the room for a brief moment, then he went out of the other door." Such is the perception of Nuh (as) who lived for nine and a half centuries, so how must we perceive our lives as those of the Ummah of Muhammad (saaw) when he (saaw) said,

عُمُرُ أُمَّتِي مِنْ سِتِّينَ سَنَةً إِلَىٰ سَبْعِينَ سَنَةً

"The lifespan for my Ummah is from sixty years to seventy [years]." [Tirmidhi]? Is it not as if we are born right next to the door from where we must leave? Is it not so? Do we really have enough life to delay our full commitment to our Deen? It is up to each of us to strive to ensure that our lifetime is not wasted. Every day and every part of our day must count for us, adding up to a lifetime that pleases Allah (swt).

Indeed the life of this world is but fleeting and upon the Day of Judgment, it will be remembered as such, by those who did not worship Allah (swt). They will have squandered their lifetime in play and pursuit of their whims and desires. They will be in such deep despair a wasted life that they will claim that their life was only a day or part of a day. Allah (swt) said,

قَالَ كَمْ لَبِثْتُمْ فِي الْأَرْضِ عَدَدَ سِنِينَ * قَالُوا لَبِثْنَا يَوْمًا أَوْ بَعْضَ يَوْمٍ فَاسْأَلِ الْعَادِينَ * قَالَ إِنَّ لَبِثْتُمْ إِلَّا قَلِيلًا لَوْ أَنْتُمْ كُنْتُمْ تَعْلَمُونَ * أَفَحَسِبْتُمْ أَنْمَّا خَلَقْنَاكُمْ عِبْتًا وَأَنْتُمْ الْبِنَاءُ لَا تَرْجِفُونَ * فَتَعَالَى اللَّهُ الْمَلِكُ الْحَقُّ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا هُوَ رَبُّ الْعَرْشِ الْكَرِيمِ

"Allah will say: "What number of years did you stay on earth") (113). They will say: "We stayed a day or part of a day. Ask of those who keep account." (114). He will say: "You stayed not but a little, if you had only known!" (115). "Did you think that We had created you in play, and that you would not be brought back to Us" (116). So Exalted be Allah, the True King: None has the right to be worshiped but He, the Lord of Al-'Arsh Al-Karim!) [Sūrah al-Mu'minūn 23:112-116]. So, how must we fill our days and parts of our days? So, how could we consider that an entire day spent with the family, or a late night spent at the office, as time that is well spent, but a few hours spent advancing the work for the re-establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood, as time that is wasted? How can we remain resting in our houses on any day, or even a part of a day, when the Deen of Allah (swt) is not implemented, the blood of Muslims irrigates soil, the lands of Muslims are occupied by the lowest of humankind and Muslims are scattered on the earth as refugees, drowning in seas whilst escaping or sleeping under open skies, starving and cold? Do we really have time to rest and is this really the time for rest?

Let us not be deceived by the Shaytan about our stay in this world. Not only is the life of this world perceived as short, it is indeed short compared to the ever lasting life of the Aakhirah. Allah (swt) said,

وَمَا الْحَيَاةُ الدُّنْيَا فِي الْآخِرَةِ إِلَّا مَتَاعٌ

"...as compared with the life of the hereafter, the life of this world is nothing but a brief passing enjoyment" [Surah Ar-Rad 13: 26]. Allah (swt) said,

قُلْ مَتَاعُ الدُّنْيَا قَلِيلٌ

"Say: "Short is the enjoyment of this world." [Surah An-Nisa' 4:77] Allah (swt) said,

يَا قَوْمِ إِنَّمَا هَذِهِ الْحَيَاةُ الدُّنْيَا مَتَاعٌ وَإِنَّ الْآخِرَةَ هِيَ دَارُ الْقَرَارِ

"O my people! Truly, this life of the world is nothing but a (quick passing) enjoyment.)" [Surah al-Ghafir 40: 39]. And RasulAllah (saaw) said,

وَاللَّهِ مَا الدُّنْيَا فِي الْآخِرَةِ إِلَّا مِثْلُ مَا يَجْعَلُ أَحَدُكُمْ إِصْبَعَهُ هَذِهِ - وَأَشَارَ يَحْيَىٰ بِالسَّبَابَةِ - فِي النَّيْمِ فَلْيَنْظُرْ بِمِ يَرْجِعُ

"By Allah, this world (is so insignificant in comparison) to the Hereafter that if one of you should dip his finger- (and while saying this he pointed with his forefinger) -in the ocean and then he should see as to what has stuck to it." [Muslim] So, why should we compromise an ocean for that which cannot even fill a thimble, as we strive in our hours, days, weeks and months? Let us not waste time in the trivial matters that may lead to flagrant neglect of deeds that were obliged upon us. Indeed, we must wrench time from the pursuit of Dunya, minutes, hours and days, so that we have ample time for that which really matters for the ever lasting Aakhirah.

Not only is the life of the world but fleeting, it can end at any moment. There is no cheating or escaping death. Death's time is not known to us, so how can we postpone that which Allah (swt) obliges us to do? Such postponing is built on self-deception, false hope and delusion.

Allah (swt) said,

وَلِكُلِّ أُمَّةٍ أَجَلٌ فَإِذَا جَاءَ أَجْلُهُمْ لَا يَسْتَأْخِرُونَ سَاعَةً وَلَا يَسْتَقْدِمُونَ

“So when their time has come, they will not remain behind an hour, nor will they precede [it].” [Surah al-Aa’raf 7:34] RasulAllah (saaw) said,

أَكْثَرُوا ذَكَرَ هَازِمِ اللَّذَاتِ

‘Frequently remember the destroyer of pleasures,’ meaning death.” [Ibn Maajah]. We cannot delay matters of our Deen in the hope that we will still be alive next month, next week, tomorrow or even the next hour. Such a hope is a false hope. Indeed, man is seized by death before such hope is ever realized. RasulAllah (saaw) drew a few lines and said,

هَذَا الْأَمَلُ وَهَذَا أَجَلُهُ، فَبَيْنَمَا هُوَ كَذَلِكَ إِذْ جَاءَهُ الْخَطُّ الْأَقْرَبُ

“This is (man’s) hope, and this is the instant of his death, and while he is in this state (of hope), the nearer line (death) comes to Him.” [Bukhari] So, how can we ever turn away an invitation of the advocate of the Khilafah to join him in the work to re-establish the ruling by all that Allah (swt) has revealed? How can we postpone the effort that is vital for the advancing the front line against the ruling by kufr? Why delay until tomorrow that which can be done today? Is this not the pace demanded by the realization that we can die at any moment? If we are not moving at the necessary pace, must we not change our habits so we do?

Indeed the life of this world is but fleeting, so let us deal with it accordingly. The ambitions, pleasures and amusements of this Dunya must not occupy our time, such that we have no time left to give the worship of Allah (swt) its full right. RasulAllah (saaw) said,

كُنْ فِي الدُّنْيَا كَأَنَّكَ غَرِيبٌ أَوْ عَابِرُ سَبِيلٍ

“Be in this world as though you were a stranger or a wayfarer.” [Al-Bukhari]. Allah (swt) said,

أَرْضَيْتُمْ بِالْحَيَاةِ الدُّنْيَا مِنَ الْأَخْرَةِ فَمَا مَتَاعُ الْحَيَاةِ الدُّنْيَا فِي الْأَخْرَةِ إِلَّا قَلِيلٌ

“Are you satisfied with the life of this world rather than the Hereafter? But

what is the enjoyment of worldly life compared to the Hereafter except a [very] little.” [Surah at-Tawba 9:38]. The Muslim lives as a traveler, passing through life, and does not live as if he is settling permanently here. He will not think twice about changing his job if it is eating his time for Dawah or exhausting him so that he is not in a fit state to carry the Dawah. He will lower his ambitions for expanding business, career building, buying a home, educating his children and pleasing his spouse because the most valuable time for him is the time spent calling to Islam.

When you meet him, you will often see signs of lack of sleep and rest in this person. Even in that state, when you ask him to engage him in more Dawah, he will be prepared to do so, willingly and without complaint. He understands the full weight of the speech of RasulAllah (saaw) when he (saaw) said,

لَا رَاحَةَ بَعْدَ الْيَوْمِ يَا خَدِيجَةَ

“There is no rest after this day, O Khadijah.” He is the one who strides with purpose, immediately without procrastination or hesitation, when he knows something must be done for His Deen. Narrated by ‘Uqba bin Al-Harith: Once the Prophet (saaw) offered the ‘Asr prayer and then hurriedly went to his house and returned immediately. I (or somebody else) asked him (as to what was the matter) and he said,

كُنْتُ خَلَفْتُ فِي الْبَيْتِ بَيْزًا مِنَ الصَّدَقَةِ، فَكْرَهُتُ أَنْ أُبَيِّتَهُ فَفَسَّمْتُهُ

“I left at home a piece of gold which was from the charity and I disliked to let it remain a night in my house, so I got it distributed.” [Bukhari]

The Muslim is the one who will rush, without wasting any time, into furthering the call of Allah (swt), even if it is a danger to himself. Muslim narrated that has been reported on the authority of Anas b. Malik who said: The Messenger of Allah (saaw) sent Busaisah as a scout to see what the caravan of Abu Sufyan was doing... The polytheists (now) advanced (towards us), and the Messenger of Allah (saaw) said,

فُؤَمُوا إِلَى جَنَّةٍ عَرْضُهَا السَّمَوَاتُ وَالْأَرْضُ

“Get up to enter Paradise which is equal in width to the heavens and the earth.” ‘Umair b. al-Humam al-Ansari said:

يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ جَنَّةٌ عَرْضُهَا السَّمَوَاتُ وَالْأَرْضُ

“Messenger of Allah, is Paradise equal in extent to the heavens and the earth?” He (saaw) said: نَعَمْ “Yes.” ‘Umair said: بَيْحُ بَيْحٍ “My goodness!” The Messenger of Allah (saaw) asked him:

مَا يَحْمِلُكَ عَلَى قَوْلِكَ بَيْحُ بَيْحٍ

“What prompted you to utter these words (i. e. my goodness! ‘)?” He said: Messenger of Allah,

لَا وَاللَّهِ يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ إِلَّا رَجَاءَ أَنْ أَكُونَ مِنْ أَهْلِهَا

“Nothing but the desire that I be among its residents.”

He (saaw) said: «فَأِنَّكَ مِنْ أَهْلِهَا» “You are (surely) amongst its residents.” Umair took out dates from his bag and began to eat them. Then Umair said:

لَيْنُ أَنَا حَيْثُ حَتَّى أَكُلَ ثَمَرَاتِي هَذِهِ إِنَّهَا حَيَاةٌ طَوِيلَةٌ

“If I were to live until I have eaten all these dates of mine, it would be a long life. (The narrator said): He threw away all the dates he had with him. Then he fought the enemies until he was killed.” Indeed, the Muslim will not delay the supreme sacrifice even for the time to eat his last meal in Dunya.

Indeed the life of this world is but fleeting and its end is not known to anyone of us, so let us worship Allah (swt) accordingly. If we are slumbering, let us emerge from inaction to action. If we are moving, let us quicken our pace. Let us complete the initiative of the day, today, so we can launch new initiatives tomorrow. Let the demands of our Deen and our Ummah determine our pace, rather than these demands being left unmet, through our own pace. Let us strive with dynamic vitality, so that all our moments in this short life are counted for us as much as possible, for the time when we stand before Allah (swt).

Musab Umair – Pakistan

Highlighting The Prophet's (saw) Journey to Taif



In this month of Shawwal on the 10th year of the Prophethood, the Prophet (saw) left accompanied by his noble companion Zaid bin Harithah from Mecca to Taif a distance of 70 miles south east of Mecca. The purpose of the journey was seeking Nusrah (material power) from the tribal leaders of Banu Tha'qif of the city of Taif.

Nusrah in the Prophet's (saw) means transferring reins of power in political and military authority via a special oath (ba'yah) given by the people of power and influence in the society with the aim of uprooting the current regime and alternatively establish an Islamic State.

It will be remembered that after the freezing of Islamic Da'wah in the Meccan society, and the Quraysh arrogantly refusing to embrace Islam and their unwillingness to surrender their authority for the sake of

establishing the Islamic State, it led the Prophet (saw) having understood that his message is neither a nationalistic nor is it a patriotic one to come up with a strategy to face other tribes outside Mecca in order to accomplish his mission.

In this vital issue of seeking Nusrah the Prophet (saw) held a strong position which is that he must secure the Nusrah from those whom he was calling to, they must accept Islam in its totality and surrender all their authority without conditions that contradict with Islam so that the State he intends to establish be a free State rather than a subjugated one. In this regard, he used to make it crystal clear to all the tribes by explaining to them:

“وَأَنْ تَوَدُّوا لِي وَيُتَّقُوا لِي وَتَمْنَعُونِي حَتَّى أَبِينُ عَنْ
”اللَّهُ مَا بَعَثَنِي بِهِ“

“That you believe and ascertain in me and protect me until I am able to

reveal for Allah what He has sent me with.”

The tribes responded to his call for Nusrah with varying reactions. The tribe of Banu Amir bin Sa'sa'ah expressed their stand openly to the Prophet (saw) by setting a condition of taking over leadership after the Prophet's (saw) death and they replied:

‘We take the risk of our necks from Arab swords and when the victory is secured authority goes to someone else?’ [Seerah of Ibn Hisham]

From this answer it was clear that they understood deeply the essentiality of the Prophet's (saw) agenda of establishing a State. Other tribes like Bani Kinda and Bani Kalb refused silently, while Bani Hanifah refused and meted their hatred and evil against (saw).

The Prophet's (saw) journey to Taif was part of that process of looking for support to accomplish his noble objective, and immediately he arrived in Taif he took a great caution to approach three tribal chiefs of Banu Thaqif: Abd Yaliil, Mas'ud and Habib and all were the sons of Amr bin Umayr At-Thaqafi to convey his agenda to them and requesting them to embrace Islam and grant him Nusrah so that he migrates to them and establish the Islamic authority; and should they disagree with the issue it should still remain a secret between them only to the exclusion of others.

Unfortunately, and with great sadness, the chiefs of this tribe like many other tribes rejected the demand of the Prophet (saw). More saddening is that they did not honor even the secret that were requested to safeguard and instead they not only leaked the secret of the discussions, but also they arrogantly forsake the Prophet (saw) in the hands of goons who stoned him and spilled his sacred blood with full of mockery, sarcasm, hatred and pride. Nevertheless, the Prophet (saw) persisted with his da'wah despite facing tribulations like the wicked reply of the chiefs of Banu Thaqif etc.

The Prophet (saw) returned to Mecca under the guarantee/protection of Mut'im bin Addiy very exhausted and injured but he did not despair, backslide nor gave up on the process of the da'wah and seeking Nusrah until the tribes of Aus and Khazraj of Medina gave him the oath of war (Bay'at ul-Harb) while telling him:

فَأَخَذَ الْبَرَاءُ بِنُ مَعْرُورٍ بِيَدِهِ ثُمَّ قَالَ نَعَمْ وَالَّذِي بَعَثَكَ بِالْحَقِّ
(نَبِيًّا) لَنَمْنَعَنَّكَ مِمَّا نَمْنَعُ مِنْهُ أَرْزَانًا فَبَايَعْنَا يَا

..رَسُولَ اللَّهِ فَتَحَنُّنُ وَاللَّهِ أَبْنَاءُ الْحُرُوبِ وَأَهْلُ الْحُلْفَةِ

“Al-Bara bin Ma'aroor [Ansari] took his [the Prophet(saw)] hand and then he said: 'Yes I swear to He who sent you with the Truth [of being a Prophet] we will protect you the same way we protect our kinship.

Therefore, accept our pledge Oh the Messenger of Allah as we are the sons [i.e. experts] of wars and people who fight in circles.”

From the Nusrah he received from the Ansar, the Prophet (saw) was able to establish the first Islamic State in the city of Medina and transformed Islam from being a theoretical message to being a practical message in ruling and management of all facets of life under its natural authority.

The big lesson in this incident is that the Prophet's (saw) Da'wah was full of plans, means and styles with special objectives of establishing authority that would carry the ideology he came with i.e. Islam. Likewise, we get lessons of standing firm in the course of the da'wah with patience, cleverness, depending on Allah (swt) alone without wavering or despair especially in seeking the Nusrah, since the secret of that matter belongs to Allah (swt) alone, Iman should engulf our hearts that eventually Allah (swt) will grant Nusrah to His servants who carry His noble da'wah.

أَلَا إِنَّ نَصْرَ اللَّهِ قَرِيبٌ

“Unquestionably, the help of Allah is near” [Al-Baqara: 214]

Masoud Msellem
Media Representative of
Hizb ut-Tahrir in Tanzania

The Prophet's (saw) Methodology for Revival

14th Halaqa: Seeking Material Support (Nussrah) from the People of Power and Influence

In this Circle, we will talk about seeking for material support (Nussrah) from the people of power and influence.

The Beginning of Assuming Leadership

Indeed, seeking Nussrah is among the important affairs, and is one of the daring activities of the da'wah; those who undertake this task have a great responsibility placed on their shoulders from their great deal of determination and acceptance to undertake the task, they have sold themselves for the sake of Allah, and carried their souls on their palms to secure Paradise ...! In addition, seeking Nussrah is a command from Allah (swt), thus it is a Taklif from Him Subhanahu, it is thus required to be extremely secretive, and no one is supposed to be aware of it except the leadership alone.

And it is required to be undertaken in its totality, and should be understood that it is a vital part that cannot be separated from the method (Tariqah) of carry the da'wah. It is not allowed for us to neglect it nor skip it for another method, and this also applies to any other Fardh commanded by Allah Azza wa Jalla. Therefore, seeking Nussrah for the da'wah is the same as performing Sujud in Salah, they have the same importance, since all of them fulfill the Fardh.

The Prophet (saw) was enthusiastic in seeking the Nussrah, he (saw) used to go alone or with one of his Sahaba to seek for the Nussrah from the tribes asking them to accept/believe him and consequently defend him against those who show enmity to him from among his people and protect him from nuisance. And he (saw) went with no one except he who he was satisfied of his trust and secretiveness among his Sahaba (ra).

The Quraysh intensified their nuisance to the Prophet

(saw) and his Sahaba until it was too much for them, and lost further hope for tribal support after denial from the Thaqif of Taif with harsh response, and after denial from Banu Kinda, Banu Kalb, and Banu Amir bin Swa'swa', and Banu Hanifah, when the Prophet (saw) introduced himself to them during the Hajj season, since there was no hope for any of the Quraysh to embrace Islam! And when they saw abandonment of Muhammad, they further deserted him, the Prophet (saw) saw the stiffness of the people of Makkah and the stubbornness of the Makkan society towards the da'wah.

Days went by, and the Prophet (saw) was abandoned further, and the Quraysh persisted in their animosity against him, and the people continued to stay away from him...! Despite all that the Prophet (saw) and his companions (ra) continued in their anticipating for Allah's support, and that Allah will make His Deen dominant over all other ways of life; we too have in the Prophet (saw) a model in three instances when he introduced himself to the tribes seeking Nussrah we will only mention them in this circle but will do so in detail in subsequent circles In Shas Allah:

First: When he (saw) introduced himself to Banu Shiban bin Tha'laba.

Second: When he (saw) introduced himself to Thaqif

Third: When he (saw) introduced himself to Banu Amir Bin Swa'swa'

It will continue in UQAB Issue 19... In Shaa Allah.

Q&A

Trump's Withdrawal from the Nuclear Deal

Question

We know that America is a state of institutions and that the outlines of America in international politics are governed by the institutions of governance in America, and not by the president. How do we explain that America ratified the nuclear agreement with Iran and considered it as a victory, and now Trump has withdrawn from it and considered it a victory? Please explain this, and accept my thanks and appreciation.

Answer

Yes, the outlines of America in international politics are governed by institutions and not by the president personally, and although the president's style is prominent in producing the decision, but what was not mentioned in the question is the basis on which these guidelines are based, and they give the answer to the question. The basis of the state of institution is the interests of America. If, in a certain circumstance, an agreement is required, the institutions approve it and the president approves it. If America's interests require canceling this agreement, the institutions approve the cancellation and the president approves the cancellation.

For instance,

1- Iran was important to maintain the tyrannical regime of Bashar, America's agent, until America finds the alternative. America feared popular movements in Syria that raised the slogans of Islam and the rule of Islam, it was afraid that the tyrant would fall and the rule of Islam is established in Syria and the American influence would disappear from the region, especially when the pace of popular movements in the year 2015 escalated and advanced

from place to place... Thus it wanted to highlight the role of Iran and remove its sanctions to enable Iran to play the role assigned to it.

Therefore it was in America's interest to sign that agreement to remove the obstacles in front of Iran. It was a humiliating deal to Iran in every way. What confirms this the most is what followed the signing of the agreement on 14/7/2015, when the US President Barack Obama made a televised speech in which he said:

* that with the deal "every pathway to a nuclear weapon is cut off"...

It would oblige Iran to "remove two-thirds of installed centrifuges and store them under international supervision

* get rid of 98% of its enriched uranium

* accept that sanctions would be rapidly restored if the deal was violated

* permanently give the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) access "where necessary when necessary" (BBC 14/7/2015)

We have clarified the purpose of America's nuclear agreement with Iran in the Answer to a Question on 22/7/2015 after the Security Council approved the agreement on 20/07/2015, we said:

"All of this indicates that America's goal behind this agreement is to ease and facilitate matters for Iran through the lifting of sanctions and anchoring its open relationship with it so that it can continue to play the role that would make it easier for America's work, lightens its burdens and provides a cover for its games with the states and peoples in the region. Therefore Iran will implement the American policy in practise as the case in Iraq, Syria and Yemen however instead of this being

implemented from behind a curtain that obscures sight as it had been (before the agreement), it will now take place behind a transparent curtain or no curtain at all!"

Indeed, Iran has played a dirty and criminal role for America in these countries, in Syria, Iraq and Yemen, and it was prominent and public under the false slogans of resistance and under the incitement of sectarian hatred.

Thus, it was in America's interest then to conclude that agreement to facilitate the economic situation of Iran and enable it to implement the American plans in the region actively and with reassurance that sanctions will be lifted from it; especially since the situation of Syria was about to go out of the American influence due to the weak regime of the tyrant Bashar. Thus the required role was that Iran gets active in its defense while being reassured of the lifting of sanctions from it. Bashar's position in 2015 was fragile and he was almost toppled, so the US held a nuclear agreement with Iran to activate its role in Syria on 14/07/15. And not only that, but it brought in Russia's military after Obama's meeting with Putin on 30/09/2015 and giving permission for Russia to intervene to prevent the fall of Bashar's regime until there is a US alternative agent..

2- But America's perspective has changed now that Bashar's position is in the upper hand. This has created another perspective for the Trump administration, especially what America has achieved directly or indirectly in Iraq and Syria against the people of the two countries seeking liberation. There is no longer any need to give Iran a direct role, because the situation now has changed, which began at the end of the Obama era after he gave the

Cont... page 13

direct role to the regimes in Turkey and Saudi Arabia.

Their conspiring against the Syrian revolution was more serious than the weapons of Russia, Iran and its party, and the Syrian regime, that worked to weaken the revolution, but the Turkish regime and that of Saudi Arabia was able to achieve victories for the regime in twisted ways, what made Iran lag behind the natural role that managed the situation alone ahead of Turkey and Saudi Arabia... Thus, America decided to make the role of Iran complementary rather than the only leading role, and this is evident in the agreements of Astana. And so it managed to stop the Syrian revolution under the name of stopping the escalation. This is one of the reasons for America's withdrawal announcement from the nuclear agreement with Iran, where the interest of America requires withdrawing from the agreement in preparation for new conditions to reduce the Iranian role in the region. And this required Trump to inflate Iran's benefit from the nuclear agreement, to show that he wants to withdraw because this agreement, according to his claim, helps Iran to acquire nuclear weapon, And accordingly Trump claimed on 05/08/2018 when announcing the withdrawal from the nuclear deal with Iran in a televised speech that "If I allowed this deal to stand, there would soon be a nuclear arms race in the Middle East" and "No action taken by the regime has been more dangerous than its pursuit of nuclear weapons" he continued:

"In theory, the so-called "Iran deal" was supposed to protect the United States and our allies,... the deal allowed Iran to continue enriching uranium and". He also said:

"Iran's leaders will naturally say that they refuse to negotiate a new deal; When they do, I am ready, willing, and able" and he said: "we have engaged extensively with our allies and partners around the world... After these consultations, it is clear to me that we cannot prevent an

Iranian nuclear bomb" "Therefore, I am announcing today that the United States will withdraw from the Iran nuclear deal". "The deal does nothing to constrain Iran's destabilizing activities...In a few moments; I will sign a presidential memorandum to begin reinstating U.S. nuclear sanctions on the Iranian regime".

He went and signed the memorandum and said: "The agreement was so poorly negotiated... The Iran deal is defective at its core... The deal's sunset provisions are totally unacceptable. As we exit the Iran deal, we will be working with our allies to find a real, comprehensive, and lasting solution to the Iranian nuclear threat... this disastrous deal gave this regime — and it's a regime of great terror — many billions of dollars" (Sputnik, Al-Jazeera 8/5/2018)

Here it is crystal clear that Trump intended to make false statements and exaggerate the capabilities of Iran, in order to justify the withdrawal from the nuclear agreement with it, without expressing the real reason; which is that America's interest now requires to reduce the role of Iran and reduce its role in the region, but it should remain ready for the implementation of what is required by the US. This is repeated in US policy, America changes its policies in accordance to its interests, such as what happened with Russia after Obama's meeting with Putin on 30/09/2015 and commissioned him to enter Syria to help with the mission in Iran; i.e. to maintain Bashar's role, Russia's readiness for this role to enter was authorized by America. But when Russia tried to exploit its role to appear as it is self-acting away from America, it was in America's interest to "discipline" Russia so that it realizes its size, and so were those military strikes!

As we have shown in the Answer to a Question on 14/04/2018, "... The American strike is more of a discipline to Russia than a strike on Syrian chemical weapons. About ten

sites were struck at dawn today.

However, some comments by military experts in the media this morning stated that few of these sites are chemical plants or research centers, but the majority was military sites." Thus changing of the American policy according to its interests is very well known.

3- Another matter that America's interest required, which is America wants to divert the attention away from the Jewish crimes by the occupation of Palestine and Al-Quds (Jerusalem), and America has long sought to transfer its embassy to Jerusalem, but it was waiting for a two-state solution and the division of Jerusalem, so it was postponing the transfer of the embassy. But now America sees a different political solution to the problem instead of the two-state solution, by introducing alterations and "touches" and other solutions that it calls the deal of the century. And this requires the of what was approved previously by America to transfer its embassy to Al-Quds (Jerusalem), and America wanted to reduce the sensitivity around this matter and so it focused on Iran and amplified its role, and that was in conference with the (ruwayibidha) rulers on 21/05/2017, when Trump addressed the leaders and representatives of 55 states in the Islamic world, to justify the implementation of the signing of agreements of reconciliation between the Jewish entity and the Saudi regime and other regimes, and to move to apply a certain solution to the Palestinian issue that is not yet announced by America. And the Saudi regime is promoting it and pressurizing the Palestinian Authority to accept it, that is, Trump focused his work to make Iran the enemy instead of the Jewish entity the usurper of Palestine, the land of Isra and M'iraj. So Saudi Arabia followed Trump and supported what he said and promotes it ... So it is in America's interest to exaggerate the subject of the nuclear agreement, as if it is not an agreement humiliating Iran, but an agreement that gives

power for Iran. Noting that what the deal covered, not even Trump and others will be able to find a deal more humiliating than this for Iran.

It has been noted that America is focusing on Iran as an enemy in the region instead of the Jewish entity. For example, when the recent demonstrations in Iran, America focused on the demonstrations and rode the wave; noting that the Iranian role in the region is a carefully studied American policy, and by America riding the wave of protest demonstrations in Iran was not intended to change the regime, but for other purposes, which we explained in the Answer to a Question on 11/01/2018:

“...So why did America ride the wave and exploit it? This is important for two reasons:

The first is to divert attention from Palestine and Trump’s statement about Al-Quds “Jerusalem” and to occupy the region with the issue of Iran. So, it becomes the enemy number one in the region, and then the focus falls on Iran and less so, if not diminishes from the Jewish entity, the usurper of Palestine.

The second is to find a justification for the survival of America’s agents in the region under the pretext of standing against Iran and protecting America from the danger of Iran. Trump’s statement about Al-Quds (Jerusalem) and that it is the capital of the Jewish entity (the most in enmity of those who believe) as we said in our leaflet from 7/12/2017 is a slap on the backs of the America’s agents “Al-Quds (Jerusalem) is in the hearts of Muslims and their minds, and the silence of those agents on Trump’s statement and their persistence to be agents pleasing to America are big scandals for them ... Trump’s statements against Iran was the straw they cling on to justify their continuation to be pro-American agents despite Trump’s statement about Jerusalem ... by saying that Trump stands in Iran’s face, the archenemy, is an excuse worse than

the crime.

(قَاتِلْهُمْ اللَّهُ أَنْتَى يُؤْفِكُونَ)

“May Allah destroy them; how are they deluded?” [Al-Munafiqun: 4]”

4- America was the instrumental gauge in the nuclear agreement, Europe accepted the American formulation of the agreement and only agreed that it would sign it by being one of the signing parties, that is, Europe surrendered and accepted it just to survive! We clarified the position of Europe during the negotiations of the nuclear agreement in the Answer to Question 22/07/2015, and we said then:

“...As such there was nothing left before the Europeans, once they had comprehended that they could not prevent the American Iranian nuclear agreement or have an effect upon the American influence, except to move towards Iran to gain the booty by winning investments and projects within it since they (Germany) are suffering from financial constraints and through these it is possible for them to work inside Iran through the long-term in order to regain the European influence or some of it there alongside the American influence...”

Thus, Europe exploited the agreement and opened up to Iran commercially. The trade balance between Europe and Iran has therefore increased, and trade with America relatively decreased before the agreement and during sanctions. This was the third reason that made Trump rush to cancel the deal as a blow to Europe, especially in terms of trade ... Trump announced on May 7, 2018 in a tweet on Twitter that he decided to bring forward the date on the Iranian nuclear agreement from May 8-12.

It is noteworthy that this step came because of the European moves in an attempt to discourage him from withdrawing from the nuclear agreement. The website “Al-Arabi Al-Jadeed” cited, سويسك! عقوم, alalam. ir website that “... the Secretary of

State of America, Mike Pompeo told his European counterparts, the French, British and German on Friday 4/5/2018 of President Trump’s intention to announce the withdrawal of his country from the nuclear agreement, and he rejected the understandings formulated with US negotiators during the past months in terms of a possible amendment to the agreement.” America did not accept to understand and cooperate with the Europeans, and did not care about them, and this indicates that it has other accounts and wants to distance the Europeans, and not to cooperate with them on this subject.

5- Europe has realized that the cancellation of the agreement will inflict significant commercial damage leading to the political damage, and therefore it exerted effort to meet with Trump to discourage him from withdrawing. Macron went to America and tried to dissuade the US president from withdrawing from that agreement, but failed. He was followed by Merkel, and have made concessions to America but they were not accepted. The European position emerged as weak. Britain then contacted Macron and Merkel, and declared that they were upholding the Iranian nuclear agreement. Then the British Foreign Minister, Johnson, visited America and announced that the world was more secure with the existence of the agreement than without it. Britain began to move strongly. So Trump expedited the date to show his position on the agreement from May 8-12 to stop the movements of Europe, and he went on with his announcement, and did not give the Europeans weight, because the American institutions saw in these a motive three reasons for America to cancel the agreement.

6- The reactions were as follow:

a. Europe is saddened, full of regret and anxiety! German Chancellor Angela Merkel said that she took note with regret and concern of President Donald Trump’s decision to pull the United States out of the

landmark 2015 Iran nuclear accord, and she said: "We will remain committed to this agreement and we will do everything necessary to ensure that Iran complies with it. Germany made this decision in cooperation with Britain and France," she added. "The solution is in having a common dialogue "and that" Europe has to assume more responsibility in foreign and security policy." "She stressed that Germany" will do everything in its power to ensure Tehran's adherence to its responsibilities under the nuclear agreement and noted that Tehran has been fulfilling it so far and said that the Iran nuclear deal should not be questioned but that there should be talks about a broader agreement that goes beyond the original accord." (Reuters, 9/5/2018).

She announced Europe's disappointment for its failure to America and its concern about the consequences of its withdrawal from the agreement. The Europeans, as mentioned above, moved at the highest level towards America to discourage Trump from the decision to withdraw and tried to consider Trump through the proposal to negotiate with Iran again, but he did not respond to them, but surprised them by the date of announcing his position on the agreement. Thus the Europeans showed weakness in front of America.

Then, during the second week of May 2018, there were conflicting European statements reflecting their discontent and dismay at the withdrawal of the United States. On the one hand some statements made showed the sense of challenge, Federica Mogherini, the European Union's foreign policy chief, said: "I am particularly concerned about the announcement tonight of the new Trump Declaration." Adding, "The European Union is determined to act in accordance with its security interests and to protect its economic investments,"... We will maintain this nuclear agreement in cooperation with the rest of the international community," In his

reaction to Trump's decision, French Foreign Minister Jean-Yves Le Drian, said: "The deal is not dead... France, Germany and Iran will hold a meeting on Monday (14/5/2018) to discuss the latest developments, and Iran's ballistic missile program and other issues, but at the same time must keep the nuclear agreement, stressing that the International Atomic Energy Agency attests to Iran's respect for the agreement." (Al-Jazeera 9/5/2018).

Britain, France and Germany issued a joint statement showing their unified position: "Together, we emphasise our continuing commitment to the JCPOA. We urge all sides to remain committed to its full implementation and to act in a spirit of responsibility, including ensuring the continued economic benefits associated with the agreement for the Iranian people." (Al-Jazeera 9/5/2018).

"Washington's decision to withdraw from the nuclear agreement does not change our position, and we have no intentions to withdraw," Johnson said in his country's parliament. "I urge the United States to avoid any action that could prevent other parties from continuing to implement the agreement, including In the interest of our collective security." (Guardian 9/5/2018) These positions show that Europe will challenge and stay committed to the accord!

On the other hand, some European statements showed retreat, leniency and fear for their companies. "It will be difficult to abide by the nuclear agreement without America," said Norbert Roitgen, head of international policy at Merkel's party. Norbert added that anyone who "invests in Iran will face harsh sanctions by the United States, and the price can not be compensated." He warned saying "Therefore, the affected companies will probably quickly stop their investments or withdraw from the country altogether". (Der Spiegel, Germany, 9/5/2018).

French Foreign Minister Jean-Yves Le Drian, said on 9/5/2018 on RTL Channel that: "Iran had agreed to impose restrictions on its nuclear activities in return for economic benefits that the Europeans will try to preserve. And that the authorities will meet with French companies operating in Iran in a few days to discuss how we can help their operations in Iran to try to protect them as much as possible from American actions," and thus the Europeans expressed concern about the fate of their economic gains.

b- The Iranian position is somewhat relatively calm, and it did not lean to Europe. The Iranian President Hassan Rowhani described Trump's decision as a "psychological war and economic pressure." He said, "We will not allow Trump to win the psychological war and the economic pressure on the Iranian people," he said, "that his country remains committed to the nuclear agreement without America, provided Iran is assured within weeks that it will get the full benefits of the agreement by getting the guarantee from the rest of the parties. And we will wait several weeks before discussing with our allies and with the rest of the parties to the nuclear agreement with whom we are in talks with. The whole matter depends on the guarantee of our interests if it is assured we will continue to move in the agreement, but if the agreement is only on paper and does not guarantee the interests of the Iranian people then we will have a clear path" (Iran's official television 9/5/2018).

Iran's Shura Council Speaker, Ali Larijani said: "Europe has already succumbed to American pressure, which led to the withdrawal of many of its companies from Iran under previous international sanctions between 2012 and 2015 ... We cannot put much confidence in their statements about maintaining the agreement, but it is worth the test for several weeks to make it clear to the world that Iran has tried in every way to reach a peaceful political solution." (Deutsche Welle, 9/5/2018)

Iran is not confident in European positions and commitment, it is afraid for its interests, and if sanctions are applied, it will be harmed.

C - Russia has not consolidated its position against Trump with the European positions, and declared its position alone. "Russia is very disappointed with Trump's decision ... there are no and there can be no grounds for canceling, The agreement that showed its full effectiveness ... and that Moscow is ready to continue to cooperate with the other parties in the nuclear agreement and will continue to develop its relations with Iran"(Al Jazeera 9/5/2018)" Foreign Minister Lavrov said.

And it speaks timidly about cooperation with other parties, i.e. the European parties who did not contact Russia; they decided to meet and negotiate with Iran without it. Thus the Russian position is in a critical situation, it cannot go with America in this matter, because it is contrary to its interests and policy towards Iran and it cannot go with the Europeans who are straining relations with Russia so that it is not used by America against them to isolate them.

d- As for China's position, China's special envoy to the Middle East, Gong Xiaosheng said: "All parties involved in the nuclear agreement with Iran must abide by it and use dialogue and negotiations to resolve the dispute, and his country is ready to enhance cooperation among all signatory countries," (Xen-Kho 9/5/2018). This is an ambiguous public statement, which China has not sided with it to the European countries opposed the withdrawal from the agreement. But it has equated American and European positions with this statement. China is not counted on for its weak international stance towards America and it is thinking about its trade relationship with America.

In Conclusion: Trump has not announced his withdrawal from the

agreement because the nuclear agreement is a victory for Iran and for the interest of Iran and to raise the status of Iran, but the fact of the agreement as it is in the time of Obama a humiliation to Iran and a shameful concession from its nuclear project. But Trump announced the withdrawal from the agreement because the interest of America has required the three factors mentioned above:

A - The need for the Iranian role, especially in the year 2015. This is no longer needed now as it was in the year 2015.

B - Amplifying the American hostility to Iran, especially in front of Saudi Arabia and its likes to shift the hostility from the Jewish entity to hostility with Iran...

C- To discipline Europe, especially in terms of trade, because Europe exploited the agreement by the opening of trade with Iran and the relaxation of trade relations with America.

America and the West, like their predecessors, the Kuffar and polytheists, do not fulfill a covenant and do not keep a contract, but they break the covenants and contracts every time, and they are not fearful. Where are they from the values of Islam and its rules that oblige fulfilling the contracts and the covenants, Allah (swt) said:

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا أَوْفُوا بِالْعُقُودِ
"O you who have believed, fulfill [all] contracts" [Al-Ma'ida: 1].

Truly humanity today, after the Kuffar spread corruption in the land is, oppressing the people and destroying every living and non-living thing, need the Islamic Khilafah Rashida state, (rightly guided Caliphate) which fulfills the contracts and preserves the covenants and spread between people justice, security and safety...

Muslims rise for its establishment, by it is glory, victory and empowerment, and truth is what the Messenger of

Allah (saw) said when he described the Caliph as a protection of the Ummah from all ills, weakness and humiliation:

إِنَّمَا الْإِمَامُ جُنَّةٌ يُقَاتِلُ مِنْ وَرَائِهِ وَيَتَّقَى بِهِ
"The Imam is a shield behind whom you fight and protect yourself."
[Narrated by Muslim from Abu Hurayrah may Allah be pleased with him]

27 Sha'ban 1439 AH
13/5/2018 CE